

MEETING MINUTES

THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

(SPECIAL MEETING)

Date: Monday, June 29, 2020

Time: 6:00 P.M. PST

Location: via Zoom

MEETING HIGHLIGHTS:

1. 2020-2021 Summer Budget and its approval.
 - a. Entire document records details of the summer budget under each AS office.
 - b. Due to the budget's large nature, it will not be summarized in the meeting highlights, but it is encouraged to review where funding is exactly going within this budget.
2. Senator Quiroa's initiative to have a Black Student Initiative Committee to better serve Black students at UCSD.

Order of Business

MEETING STARTED at 6:02 P.M.

Roll Call

Public Input

1. Dumebi Uba
 - a. Where are you allocating most of the budget? Most of it was going to Sun God, not appropriate especially with COVID-19
 - b. I don't see a vaccine coming out anytime soon, doesn't make sense to me
 - c. If Sun God isn't happening, what is the money going to be used for?
2. Rachel Flanagan
 - a. Express concerns over AS Concerts and Events funding decisions
 - b. Sense that AS was obligated to fund causes that are ideologically important but this merits a discussion on the practical sense of the funding
 - c. Funding the BSU and Afrikan Black Coalition Conference can seem performative although it is amazing, sense of complacency of "doing a good thing"
 - d. Giving funding is not enough, money doesn't get spent in a way people are envisioning it
 - e. Instead of taking away that funding, you can find ways to elevate Black artists and voices among cultural perspectives, way to elevate cultural voices in a mainstream format

- f. Students get to keep their experiences at the same
 - g. Funding is not necessarily the end all be all demonstration of your values
3. Lilitiana Soriano
 - a. Funds are not being allocated appropriately
 - b. Representatives of the school and those with power need to be held accountable
 - c. Funding made me concerned
 4. Dumebi Uba
 - a. As an institution, just being on campus doesn't feel enough
 - b. Maybe a festival that incorporates Black culture, having more artists who are Black folk and people of color
 - c. Desire to have AS officers fight for students of color
 - d. Talk about the Compton Cookout and other institutions that have degraded Black community
 - e. If you want to fight for Black people, you have to listen to us! You saw what BSU posted — they posted what policies to advocate for and implement
 - f. Fight for people of color for this term and beyond
 5. Rachel Flanagan
 - a. Regarding my comments, I strongly agree that the events this year must align with elevating cultural voices on campuses, in particular Black voices
 - b. Blindly funding is not the answer, AS takes it upon themselves to elevate Black voices, Indigenous voices, Latinx voices
 - c. Make it clear that AS has the opportunities to elevates cultures on this campus, power to effect systemic change
 - d. Ought to use programming and structures that AS has to do exactly that
 - e. Much broader and longer conversation on how it's going to get done
 6. Lilitiana Soriano
 - a. Address to Rachel, her not being part of the BSU shows that she isn't a part of the programming that can be done
 - b. BSU put a clear statement of the way that we could fight racism
 7. Nicholas Lee
 - a. Heard of a lot of discussion of where funds are being redistributed
 - b. Figure out traditions of the school, greatest pieces of information I learned is that tradition is not something you can't be replaced
 - c. Agree that the money could be going towards diversity and inclusion, but canceling Sun God is not something that should be done?
 - d. Keep in mind that you don't want to mess with tradition
 - e. Biggest regret as senator is not advocating to the administration
 - f. You could find better uses for money by working with the administration

Special Presentations

1. NONE

Reports of External News

1. NONE

Reports of Senator Projects

1. NONE

Reports of AS Senators

1. Allen
 - a. Apologize to the body, especially Kathleen and Jennifer, for being too aggressive during the last meeting
 - b. Spent weekend talking to other people regarding the AS Budget
 - c. Misconception with many senators that AS Budget was analogous to a congressional budget, city budget, etc.
 - d. Money is gone, organization giving money to another organization
 - e. AS Budget doesn't work that way, not two separate organizations, we disseminate money, it never leaves our hands, we still have control over the line items
 - f. ASCE isn't going to spend that money over the summer, when we get back in Fall, the money will still be there
 - g. ASCE money will still be there, they're not going to touch it during Summer
 - h. Money never leaves our hands, we're not allocating it like Congress
 - i. Line-item promises money for negotiation
 - j. We fund ASCE, they won't use the funds during the summer, won't be able to use to negotiate
 - k. Only effect with cutting ASCE's budget is capping their ability to negotiate, don't need to ASCE's budget right now
 - l. Going to make motion to revisit ASCE's budget to revise
 - m. The important thing: the money is merely promised, not spent
2. Quiroa
 - a. Emphasize the money that will not be used
 - b. We were shown the budget a little after it was created — goes to show that we were shown it like the public, not enough time to allocate and what to think about it
 - c. Come to Fall reconvening to talk with constituents, think about different ways and avenues as Senators
 - d. We're gonna see changes in society and in the news regarding COVID-19
 - e. Talk to your constituents!
3. Lonc
 - a. Discuss the survey that was put out
 - b. TL;DR problematic nature of the reliability and validity of the survey
 - c. Voices issues with data collected from the survey
 - d. Data Officer should've looked over this survey

- e. Average student has a limited conception of the inner dynamics of AS, options would be misleading or not understood at all
 - f. Comment on public input: throwing money while not considering the effectiveness of it is a recipe for disaster
 - g. Advocating for people of color is beyond throwing money, not just a money dispenser at AS UCSD
 - h. As AS, we are pooling money from undergraduate students, we need to embrace our role as AS and use money effectively
4. Levine
- a. Throwing money at various organizations, giving the AVP more money than they asked or needed isn't the solution
 - b. Their money is used to promote their events, they're not going to know what to do with it, potentially be unhealthy and money could be used mismanaged as the funding would be sudden
 - c. Things are really bad right now, situation is getting worse by the day, doesn't look like we're going to have in-person events any time soon
 - d. Miniscule chance we can even put on Sun God, reasoning that it increases morale but I don't think it had a major impact on students' lives, put it off for the sake of public health
 - e. Sun God needs some changes as well, it's getting worse because you have security, it's during the day, etc.; Sun God is not a priority right now
 - f. Most people wouldn't be affected by Sun God, take the survey results with a grain of salt due to selection bias
 - g. We have more money than we know what to do it, we could give it back to the students, probably the best move we can make
 - h. Think of ways to use student funding last for the long-term
5. Francisco
- a. Touch on the Levine's point on putting back funds back to the students, not feasible
 - b. Stimulus check-like payments are not allowed for many different reasons
 - c. Best way to give money to the students is to create more jobs, suggested to AVPs to create more stipended positions
 - d. You will see increases in the budgets
 - e. This would be a way to put money back in students' hands
6. Allen
- a. Looking at ways to allocate money that are allowed
 - b. Initialize a concept now: there is a number associated with every student called "cost of attendance"
 - i. Aggregate of loans, financial aid, etc. that adds up that students pay
 - c. Give them gift cards, etc. to give something somehow maybe
 - d. Unfortunately, we can't give direct grants as a body, we are an organ of the university, it would fall under Financial Aid
 - e. If we give money, we affect Financial Aid, students would get less money
 - f. Anything we could give students with a top-line value would not be possible, we can't just give them stuff because that affects cost of attendance

- g. Look into other methods of helping students, probably something to look into for Fall when we know who's on campus
7. Saraf
- a. If money is given out to basic needs and housing, a lot of people would be disqualified from this aid because they would not be living on campus
 - b. This cannot be done with tuition dollars; money should be given back as aid ultimately

Reports of AS Offices

1. President Giangtran
- a. BSU's statement of their demands; mentions AS and student government
 - b. Elections of all positions in AS — will responding to the BSU; might be making AVP positions elected, would like to have that follow-up conversation with the BSU, anyone who would be interested in having that conversation this summer, probably in the next 1-2 weeks
 - c. Quite baffled at our last meeting that lasted 6 hours; didn't feel like a student body
 - d. Taking away money from AVPs without their knowledge was rude and non-transparent
 - e. AVPs were blindsided; no one consulted AVPs or asked them questions
 - f. No one brought up concerns to me [Giangtran] about the budget prior to the meeting
 - g. Asking to come directly to ask about the budget; please don't make assumptions
 - h. Went into the budget meeting assuming that everyone knew how the budget worked
 - i. Wanted to clarify that money that we allocate is not money spent and gone; reiterate we can reallocate money during any point of the year and we pass that through our finance committee
 - j. Encourage a plan how you want to use the money; throwing money is performative and we have the entire summer to decide how to use the money
 - k. We have a Fall Revise; things can change anytime; encourage using the summer to find out what you want to do with AS and the budget
 - l. If we give students with the most need right now money, it would actually harm them — less financial aid
 - m. We need to work towards a lasting and meaningful solution; we have to finish our budget during this meeting
2. VP Kreitman
- a. Talking about committees — majority of committees have gotten their Senate appointments
 - b. Not enough committee seats for all Senators
 - c. Half of college senators have gotten a committee position
 - d. If someone chooses to not move to SD in the fall → asking if this affects a student's financial aid, efforts to contact the Financial Aid office to see how

many students' financial aid would be affected and find out what AS can do about it

- e. Have a Fall FAQs and Answers for students to have students maintain financial stability
 - f. Reviewing potential budget for the Fall Revise
3. VP Saxena
- a. Talk about the survey: keep in mind we're doing another survey in the Fall
 - b. We still got 200-500 on the Free Response Questions → give insight on how students feel
 - c. Ultimately, I'm not sure we'll release the data due to issues with the survey
 - d. Feel free to look through the report! Limitations of the survey are recognized
4. FC Butler
- a. Working with the enterprises, services, and transportation to look into holiday shuttles around Thanksgiving time and end of Winter Quarter
 - b. Working to ensure offices know what they want for Fall
 - c. Making sure to have budget stuff earlier
 - d. Planning 2-3 AVPs present per week about the budget to reduce need to ask questions during budget meetings
5. AVP Lee
- a. Prior to the meeting, no one contacted her about her position and budget
 - b. Felt a little blindsided, wasn't able to fully express anything
 - c. ASCE is a student-run organization to create a diverse set of programming for UCSD undergraduate student body, creating a healthy social experiences and improving campus climate
 - d. Reflect values of student staff, ASCE tries to represent interests of the student body
 - e. Student staff is willing to look into different sorts of events
 - f. In regards of the budget, ASCE doesn't spend anything until we know what the student body is looking for, what university regulations are required, and what our student staff envisions
 - g. Mitigate any spending for Sun God festival
 - h. AVP Lee knows the importance of having this funding for student body that went unused
 - i. Requested Summer Allocations to plan out and discuss possibilities to begin with, helps us develop complete plans that realistically prepare us for the year
 - j. Why is AVP Lee so passionate about Sun God → I was able to see 16,000 sign up and 13,000 attendees → stuck out to me
 - k. We are a professional organization to reach industry standards; trust Lee to work in ASCE as a person who worked since freshman year
 - l. Encourage to re-evaluate the budget; didn't hear concerns before to avoid cutting into everybody's time
 - m. Will have the most accurate reports for events and etc.
 - n. Hopes to have more communication this year, please contact AVP Lee

Question Time

1. Lam
 - a. For Spring, when Sun God was cancelled, can the amount of non-refundable costs be disclosed?
 - i. Lee: A lot of our payments happen after Sun God happens, only then we would pay costs
 - ii. Cancelled Sun God late March and Sun God happens month after, basically refund everything
 - iii. Small cost to create the website that happened months in advance, other than that 99% was unspent → funds were re-allocated by executive budget in the Spring
2. Levine
 - a. Did the million budget affect students' financial aid?
 - i. Butler: UCOP made a one-time exception to their grants without affecting...
 - ii. Heather Belk: To broadly answer your question, UCOP did make a one-time exception agreement that students who received a COVID-19 Relief Grant would not affect their financial aid? We don't know if that decision will be made again and to what level. For resident students, it was a one-time exception that didn't penalize students. For undocumented students, international students, resource centers, they have different financial aid packages that are affected differently.
 - b. To AVP Lee specifically, in regards to Sun God, I'm wondering if it would be possible to lower the costs for Sun God while retaining the quality of the festival?
 - i. Lee: Will be working on that over the summer, quality depends on how much money is spent to be honest
 - ii. Will let AS know any updates on Sun God
3. Saraf
 - a. Relating to housing, if students do not live on campus, would their housing guarantee still be recognized, especially 1st and 2nd years
 - i. Giangtran: Meeting with HDH soon, will get answers
 - ii. John Weng: post answer on the chat, there's an answer on the HDH website
4. AVP Phan
 - a. Quickly say, they do have the answer, direct to John.

Reports of Standing Committees

1. NONE

Reports of External Committees

1. NONE

Committee Question Time

1. NONE

Discussion Items

1. Quiroa
 - a. Senate Discussion on HSI Committee Equivalent
 - b. Few points of the BSU Statement that needs to be addressed
 - i. AS did not craft a “response to this statement by June 26, 2020” to “identify a point of contact of primary person responsible for facilitating further conversation between the Black Student Union and campus leadership” — taken directly from the BSU Statement
 - c. Want to create a committee that caters only to the Black student community similar to a HSI (Hispanic-Serving Institutions) Committee
 - d. Name of the committee: Black Student Initiative Committee

Purpose → In order to fully support black excellence and black girl magic, we need to start having the difficult conversations we normally don't. With that being said, this committee's primary direction is to start a discussion of finding an avenue similar to the “Hispanic Serving Institution” workgroup specifically for Black Students at UCSD. As well as serve as the committee tasked with finding viable responses to not only the BSU's letter but public input in the coming year as well.

Responsibility:

1. Communicate with BSU for all plans and actions.
2. Have bi-weekly meetings to discuss action plans and directives committee will tackle.
3. Quarterly report of accomplishments made to help support our black students on campus specifically from this committee
4. Start discussions with the necessary UCSD faculty to craft accurate and plausible changes on our campus.
5. Find either federal or state equivalent legislation similar to HSI federal funding.

Committee membership:

1. **BSU representative(s)**
 2. Active AS HSI committee member(s) to be present until adequate equivalent conversations are had.
 3. AVP of office of EDI as a representative
 4. One member from each college council to serve as a representative
 5. Necessary UCSD faculty needed for discussion(Amount: TBD) as representatives
- e. I need the committee to start in order to start this.
- f. AVP of EDI needed in this also
-
6. Four to student experts not directly involved with the student government to serve as members.

Committee Dissolvement:

Dissolvement shall either occur when an equivalent to the HSI workgroup's legislative percentage funding is found **OR** when adequate resources are found to support our black students at UCSD.

2. AVP Alnihmy
 - a. I urge fellow AVPs and Senators to consider how to service Black folx and BIPOC in general
 - b. Full support of a committee like this
3. Pandya
 - a. Is this a AS Chartered committee?
4. Quiroa
 - a. Fall is going to be really busy
 - b. Wanting to spearhead this
 - c. Show the Senate body and others this because the AVPs are here
 - d. Planning to hold a meeting for this later

- e. Discuss what this committee would look like

Presentation Proceedings:

1. Acknowledgment of AS senate's lack of conversation regarding this issue
2. Discussion on the creation of the committee and explanation of what being an HSI means.
3. A call for a Senate vote to charter the committee
4. Words on continuation and plans for after creation of this committee.

Unfinished Business

1 *2020-2021 Executive Budget*. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran and Nicholas Butler.

1. FC Butler
 - a. Operating Reserves
 - i. Between last budget and this budget, update: Graphic Studio will be contributing \$20,000 to the AS Carryforward and more due to some salary savings
 - ii. Carryforward numbers TBA will be discussed in the Fall
 - iii. \$6M activity fee income
 - iv. Mandate Reserves: What have saved up over the last few years
 - v. SPACES Loan Repayment: SPACES repaying a loan we gave them a few years back
 - vi. Leave Graphic Studio Income blank until we get those numbers finalized
 - b. Allocations
 - i. Referendum and Return to Aid
 1. We send 29% of total income to Return to Aid → \$1.25M
 2. SPACES
 - a. We contribute to full-time employees and three full time members which is denoted by "FTE" (Full Time Employee)
 - b. ASP and SIAPS are locked in
 3. Student Sustainability Collective
 - a. We contribute to full time employees
 - b. All referendum
 4. KSDT
 - a. 2 full time employees
 - b. Give \$0.65 to each of the college councils

- c. These cases won't be resolved until Winter and Spring of next year because there's such an influx → need to increase number of advocates
- 5. FC Butler
 - a. Move to approve the budget of the Office of Student Advocacy (Line 102 at \$1,400.00)
 - b. Office of College Affairs
 - i. Council Visit — AVP of College Affairs will visit every College Council over the course of their term; the AVP brings thank-you gift for college councils — looking into how this can be done virtually
 - ii. Programming — throw any events in collaboration with college councils
 - iii. Muir Musical — we don't know what's going to happen in Winter or Spring quarter, need to uphold our end of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), recognize that Muir Musical is under Muir College → hence, a college affair → locked in but could have access to funds if event does not happen
- 6. Courtney Chan
 - a. Small ask; college council visits will be virtual and will be appropriately adjusted
 - b. Programming — online type of programming
 - c. Muir Musical — a college affair, under the Office although it is not directly related to what the office does
- 7. Nicole Thompson
 - a. MOU, reiterate what that means in terms of Muir Musical?
- 8. FC Butler
 - a. A MOU — Memorandum of Understanding, agreement made between two parties; we will be paying some entity a certain sum of money for some number of years, something along those lines
- 9. Pandya
 - a. Muir Musical Line Item — is there any reason that we do this now rather than the Fall Revise
- 10. FC Butler
 - a. Ensure that we uphold our end of the MOU
 - b. If not, we may get into trouble with other documentation, more of a formality, knock out all of the MOUs at once
- 11. Levine
 - a. Muir Musical doesn't happen → we can just allocate funds then
- 12. FC Butler
 - a. Yes, we can move the funds if it does not happen
 - b. We could pass a resolution in the Senate to reallocate

- c. Move to Approve Office of College Affairs at \$30,900.00 which is Line 106
 - i. Approved
- d. Office of Academic Affairs

13. David Hickman

- a. From the Office of Academic Affairs
 - i. Mostly internal policy work
 - ii. Reach out to students to maximize student involvement in academic governance and increase awareness of academic policies
 - iii. Budget ask for the entire year, hence reactive programming
 - iv. Programming (\$1,000.00) — virtual events that would explain academic policies and etc.
 - v. Marketing — \$500.00 increasing perceived value toward the students, lot that our office does that is not really seen
 - vi. This is for the entire year, a little more that I would plan on spending, reactive nature of our programming, that is why I'm asking for the money now instead of later

14. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Line 118 — Office of Academic Affairs \$1,500.00
 - i. Approved
- b. Everything was spoken at length, grants can't really be done by AS itself

15. AVP Phan

- a. Office of Food and Housing Resources
- b. Messing with financial packages would hurt the people we want to help
- c. Make it clear that I will ask how much I need for now, we can debate if it seems too much
 - i. Marketing
 - ii. Programming
 - iii. Outreach
 - 1. Extend this to outreach to student body on what resources we have on and off campus
 - 2. Increasing CALFresh Enrollment
 - 3. Aiding in transition to off campus housing and clarifying what on-campus resources are
 - iv. Initiatives and Projects
 - v. Supplies
 - vi. Triton Food Pantry
 - 1. Operations

16. Pandya

- a. Regarding initiatives and projects line item at \$3,000 — share with us what are your thoughts behind this item

17. AVP Phan

- a. Nick and I talked about this — gift cards, relief financial burden of students
- b. Don't really have enough outreach to see what programs that can be done

18. Saraf
 - a. Triton Food Pantry under your mandate?
19. AVP Phan
 - a. Referendum that was passed that they automatically get \$30,000 for student fees and I don't touch that
 - b. Rest of the budget is what I spend (\$6,400)
20. Levine
 - a. Within our capabilities to increase the amount we'd plan to give to Triton Food pantry?
21. AVP Phan
 - a. They actually have two locations now — they want to increase funding?
 - b. Something has to be passed to increase their funding for next year.
22. Lonc
 - a. Are there plans that the funding would be spent differently if many students are not on campus during the Fall Quarter?
23. AVP Phan
 - a. Spending-wise, they have help that funds the other second location. I don't know if the funding will carry on for next year.
 - b. Increase of users even during a pandemic
 - c. Manager wanted to have more culturally relevant foods, instead of just nutritious foods
24. Saraf
 - a. \$30,000: Summer or for the entire next year?
25. AVP Phan
 - a. Pantry is operational and it supports students year round, I believe the \$30K is for the entire year
26. Levine
 - a. Any way to give them more money within this budget and how long it would take to pass a referendum? What can we do within this meeting to increase funding?
27. FC Butler
 - a. We can increase operations through an MOU in Fall
 - b. We would give a one-year fix in the Fall Quarter and ensure that a new measure on the ballot
 - c. Not a good time to increase their budget, not our place to say what their needs
 - d. Reiterate that it's not our job to make decisions how much a place needs
28. AVP Phan

- a. Triton Food pantry has other outside sources, not just AS funding them
- b. They're good for this year, no rush to allocate funding, want to ensure we're using our money correctly

29. John Weng

- a. No referendum to be clear about the pantry specifically

30. Senator Cheng

- a. Agree with Levine, we should be putting more money, I encourage the Office of Food and Housing Resources to think of more programming for basic needs
- b. According to the survey, a lot of students have concerns with mental health and food and housing resources
- c. Share positive stance if the office needs more allocations, Senate should be support this action

31. AVP Phan

- a. Basic Needs are crucial, yes
- b. Want to reiterate, I will ask for the money that I need
- c. I know what I'm doing — Fall Revision is when I'll know what programs will be needed and then I will ask for the funding I need

32. Saraf

- a. Ask the Triton Food pantry if the pantry wants more funding? Especially since they didn't ask for an increase and now there's a pandemic.

33. AVP Phan

- a. They already have declined funding, the pantry is good for now
- b. There should be more state funding coming in; they're good right now
- c. If anything changes, I will let you guys know

34. Pandya

- a. In this setting, arbitrary if we decided to give more money to the Pantry
- b. Need for a discussion with the pantry in collaboration with AVP Phan
- c. Most of us would like to see more allocation but the most appropriate setting to discuss that is in the beginning of the Fall Quarter and Fall Revise

35. Gabelman

- a. I trust that AVP Phan will ask for more money if she needs at the Fall Revise
- b. Other ways that we can help basic needs that's not just funding
- c. There's events and programming

36. AVP Washington

- a. In the future, we will deal with housing security because that's an environmental justice issue
- b. If any senators want to work with me, I'm open in doing so

37. AVP Phan

- a. It has been a big thing with senators to do stuff with basic needs

- b. Wanting a form a committee or collaborate with Phan → feel free to do so
- c. It's just Phan working in the office right now; there will be more direction in the fall

38. Saraf

- a. Any initiatives directed towards those not living on campus?

39. AVP Phan

- a. Still need to work on that, don't want to disclose anything right now until I know how feasible it is

40. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve the Office of Food and Housing Resources (\$6,400.00) & Triton Food Pantry Budget (\$30,000.00)
 - i. Approved

[RECESS]

1. AVP Agni of Transportation and Transformation

- a. Office is responsible for advocating for students in terms of parking, public transportation (e.g., UPASS), campus planning and campus construction
- b. Asking \$1,400 at this time to cover the entire academic year
 - i. Programming — \$800.00; usually one signature event series called Final Stretch (\$300-350 per quarter) during Finals Week, supplies for Finals Week
 - 1. Transit Driver Appreciation Week later in the year (March)
 - ii. Marketing \$600.00 — marketing materials, office items such as hand sanitizer
 - iii. Operating, Travel, Leadership Development, Holiday Airport Shuttle, Grocery Shuttle — funding is not needed right now or needed at all, will be asked in Fall Revise in Winter and Spring
 - iv. Over summer, services are operating on a limited basis due to low ridership

2. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Transportation and Transformation Office budget at \$1,400.00
 - i. Approved
- b. ***Office of Campus Affairs***
 - i. ***We did approve this, but would like to conduct a strawpoll on if we want to revisit this***

STRAW POLL

Revisit this office: 19

No; move on: 8

Abstain: 4

3. VPCA Kreitman
 - a. Triton Dine — Intend to look into that Fall Revise, intention to revisit this later
 - b. Retreat — Reiterate importance of leadership development and understanding others' leadership styles
 - i. Potential to increase budget by \$700 to make "A.S. Retreat" \$1,600 to include Myers-Briggs
 - ii. Personality test doesn't seem necessary for the function of AS, however there is an importance in understanding other people's leadership styles
 1. E.g., Giangtran and Kreitman have the same Myers-Briggs → collaboration works → productivity
4. Thompson
 - a. Not opposed as a whole, I don't think there's a benefit over the free version online
5. Kreitman
 - a. John Weng is certified in Myers-Briggs, yield to Assistant Director Weng
6. Weng
 - a. Biggest difference is one is psychometrically validated
 - b. Free version hasn't been proven to measure what it is meant to measure
 - c. \$750.00 version — assessment on the US sample → good enough in a US organization
 - d. \$1250.00 — global scale
7. Bradt
 - a. I would oppose this
 - b. I think that we could collaborate so well without the test undermines the need for the Myers-Briggs testing
 - c. Unnecessary in my opinion
8. Pandya
 - a. Also oppose this
 - b. Nice to have, definitely benefits that come out of this
 - c. I didn't have the opportunity the last retreat or to take the test, yet I don't think my capability to work with other senators was not impeded
9. VP Saxena
 - a. I oppose this amendment, I'd like to add that students were angered by the money put into retreat
 - b. Even though the survey was faulty, it was consistent in that students did not like the excessive funding
10. Kreitman
 - a. I wanted to propose it anyway, completely fine that we don't add this to the budget

- b. I will say that looking at the speaker's list: 1/3 of the senators had something to say, there is a large population of the Senate that may not be comfortable of voicing what they want to say
- c. Take this time to barring you from speaking out and connect to other AS leaders to help yourself out

11. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Office of Campus Affairs at \$3,900.00
 - i. Approved
- b. Office of Concerts and Events
 - i. Already approved, but most of us wanted to reconsider
 - ii. Motion to reconsider Office of Concerts and Events budget**
 - iii. People didn't understand why there was a high ask for line items within the budget, unfair to AVP Lee to slash her entire budget due to a misunderstanding → not saying a full reallocation, right to reconsider

12. Pandya (speaking on the objection)

- a. I would prefer to continue with the other offices because we spent 2 hours discussing it then
- b. Start looking at the budgets, non-controversial
- c. And then come back to ASCE to take care of the other parts of the budget

13. FC Butler

- a. Withdraw the motion at this time
- b. We already approved the line item "Conferences/Collaboration" within Office of Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI)

14. AVP Alnihmy of EDI

- a. MLK Jr. Day at \$0.00 until we have discussions on that later
- b. Anticipate that Conferences/Collaboration may increase collaborate with other student organizations other than the Afrikan Black Coalition
- c. UCSD Cultural Celebration —we typically allocated \$6,200.00 as a MOU
- d. Motion to allocate that money to have it now, Fall Revise is when we can adjust the numbers
- e. Programming and Operating — pretty typical funds for mediating the collaboration, creating small events for student-led initiatives
- f. Motion to allocate \$6,200.00 to UCSD Cultural Celebration
 - i. (AVPs are allowed to make motions but cannot vote)
 - ii. Approved
- g. Women's Commission Budget
 - i. Still looking for a chair, appoint a previous Women's Commission member maybe due to their experience but willing to make a general application
 - ii. Centennial Celebration of the 19th Amendment, Take Back the Night, and Marketing — some things that the chair and AVP of EDI can discuss
- h. TEDI

- i. Up in the air currently
- ii. No leadership for right now → unallocated for the time being

15. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Office of EDI, Women's Commission, and Tritons for Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion budgets, respectively, at \$57,245.00, \$150.00, and \$0.00
 - i. Approved

16. AVP Kortni Washington of Environmental Justice Affairs

- a. Sustainability Investments — clear increase, something that to help the AS General Assembly sustainable in its actions → \$200.00 increase
 - i. Make events, those virtual and in-person, to be sustainable as well as accessible
 - ii. Marketing — increase engagement, getting that outreach is very vital, having students understand what your office does is important, hence the increase in money allocation
 - iii. Leadership Development — beyond just professionalism but also multiculturalism, navigating spaces that you aren't comfortable in or not familiar in

17. Pandya

- a. Sustainability Investments — would you want more money allocated to that to achieve these efforts?

18. AVP Washington

- a. As of right now, I don't plan on needing more
- b. Come the Fall Revise, I may ask for more in the case we have in-person events

19. FC Butler

- a. We don't need to allocate much money for sustainability investments because we can pull from other sources
- b. Motion to budget of Office of Environmental Justice Affairs at \$1,200.00
 - i. Approved

20. VPCA Kreitman

- a. AVP Tianna White wasn't able to make it
- b. AVPs allocate for either the entire year or up to Week 5 of Fall Quarter, this one is only up to Week 5
- c. Majority of the budget is going toward to two spirit weeks and an event at the beginning of Fall Quarter and a give away

21. Pandya

- a. Fall Outreach budget is for when students are coming to campus?

22. Kreitman

- a. Yes, all of the \$3,000 is intended to be used by the end of Week 5 of Fall Quarter

23. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Office of Spirit and Athletics at \$3,000.00
 - i. Approved

24. AVP Lara of Health and Wellness

- a. Creating a happier and healthier UCSD
- b. Structure is to get positions compensated, fight to get positions in the office compensated
- c. Essential to UCSD during this time
- d. Projects — Wellness Kits; entirety of the \$3,000 will be going towards (“Initiatives/Projects”), kits were tested in Spring Quarter, gave about 200-250 → positive feedback from those who gave us feedback
- e. In the case that housing doesn’t get resolved, we will withhold using the fees
- f. My office has been underfunded and ignored the past few years
- g. Marketing — Instagram following went up, AS information can be disseminated, making sure students know about information is important
- h. In terms of Outreach/Awareness/Advocacy, may change during Fall Revise
- i. Operating — \$1,800 → \$500 of that will be used for CAPS Referendum election giveaways, unfulfilled promises, remainder used for additional operations

25. Pandya

- a. Initiatives and Projects —\$3,000 for Wellness Kits initiatives
- b. Wondering any funds can be allocated, shed some light on that

26. AVP Lara

- a. We have some supplies for 700-750 wellness kits
- b. However, in the case that we have increased demand, I don’t know if the office has the capacity to compensate for the influx

27. Cheng

- a. Marketing — previous budgets have this line item much lower than you requested? Could you elaborate why?

28. AVP Lara

- a. In terms of marketing, it’s for the entire year. Reasoning: for the Wellness Kits, a lot of them were office collateral
- b. Certain number of office collateral will be designated for the Wellness Kits whereas other amounts of it will be given towards event giveaways incentives, compensation for those who do our surveys
- c. Hand sanitizers → larger rate for production, rate of \$3-5 per customized bottle → larger scale can become very pricey

29. Levine

- a. How did you arrive at the number of 700 wellness kits, more money allocated to reach as many students as possible?
- b. How did you plan to distribute these? Mail?

30. AVP Lara

- a. 700 comes from the order of 1000 kits and we gave out about 200-250 kits
- b. Dissemination → collaboration with the Food Pantry to distribute the Wellness Kits, students could pick up kits at markets around campus

31. Pandya

- a. Motion to amend “Initiatives and Projects” to read from \$3,000.00 to \$4,500.00
- b. Reasonable increase would be \$1,500, that would provide a considerable increase of gathering supplies for these kits for distribution in the Fall
- c. We want to be concise with our increases and decreases

32. Levine (objection)

- a. Going to say \$7,500 → more breathing room

33. AVP Lara

- a. Distribute it off of demand through Google Forms for example
- b. This amount is going to be requested up until Fall
- c. 800 kits were distributed on and off campus
- d. \$1,500 is to account for updates
- e. \$4,500 → can't speak on how many wellness kits could be created
- f. My reasoning for 1.5K as a good safety cushion, don't see a situation in which 3,000 students are asking for wellness kits

HAND VOTE on MOTION TO AMEND INITIATIVES AND PROJECTS TO READ \$4,500

YES: 26

NO : 0

ABSTAIN: 2

APPROVED

34. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve budget of Office of Health and Wellness at \$11,800.00
 - i. Approved

35. VPCA Kreitman

- a. **[MOTION FOR RECESS]**

[RECESS]

1. FC Butler

- a. Office of Finance and Resources

- i. Move to approve the Office of Finance and Resources (under “Office of Finance and Resources”) for \$700.00
 - 1. Approved
 - b. Office of Student Organizations
 - i. Operating — get what the office needs
 - ii. Marketing — big plans in the Fall, Instagram page up and running, promote all student org events
 - iii. Staff Development — in the case that there are any in-person interaction to get staff launched
 - iv. Student Org Operating Unallocated — boosting it to \$10,000 due to the lack of on-campus events in the Fall, make sure that when organizations can recruit fully → they have the resources, almost like a student organization stimulus check
 - 1. Four types of Student Org Funding
 - a. One being Interest-Free Programming Loan: Occurs when student org doesn’t qualify for funding so they get a programming loan so they can throw their event, pay us back in 60 days, looking to extend to 90 days
 - 2. Throwing events on campus so UCSD has a sense of community
 - v. Student Org Programming Unallocated
 - 1. Student organizations run like with most likely hybrid model
 - vi. Tournament and Competition Unallocated
 - 1. Still happening virtually, left this alone, could see this increase
 - vii. Many are locked: Sports Club (locked) with recreation, Media Services Payment, Funding Guides, BBQ Rentals (agreement with CSI), Performance Agreements (processing fee that is required with UCOP), CSI poster room, student org funding seminars (blank at the time because not sure if they can be held in person)
2. Pandya
 - a. For marketing, \$150.00, one of the initiatives is the Instagram account, etc. → why is it \$150.00?
3. FC Butler
 - a. There’s a lot of different thoughts floating around, with Instagram ads to boost visibility → that’s a cost
 - b. Canva as well
 - c. Having money available to do all of that
 - d. Down the road → organizational fair with the CSI’s SILCs
 - e. Commissions now
 - i. All Campus Transfer Association (ACTA)
 - 1. Ask from last year
 - 2. Does a lot of work around campus
 - 3. Solid start to get stuff off the ground
 - ii. All Campus Commuter Board (ACCB)
 - 1. Some classes being on campus → still asking for programming money for the small student population

- f. Move to approve Office of Student Organizations Budget at \$136,665.00
 - i. Approved
- 4. AVP Wiggins
 - a. Office of Enterprises and Services
 - i. AS Safe Rides — for the whole year, partnership with Lyft to get to places, in the coming year, push this to be further for commuters who need to be home
 - ii. AS Safe Rides Reserve — in case we go over for Safe Rides
 - iii. Operating — Wellness Machine in PC, vending machine with condoms, Plan B, etc., vending machine in the library
 - iv. Senior Memory Book Marketing — partnership with an outside photography studio, managed the Publicity and Marketing of the studio, send out the studio to get photos taken, making money out of this
 - v. Initiatives — partnership with the library, students can go to study, Postmates/GrubHub partnership, budget is at \$0.00 because nothing solidified
 - vi. Made to Order —service on campus for students to make custom apparel, especially Greek Life, with AS Graphic Studio
 - vii. Marketing — Triton Outfitters, cheaper alternative to the BookStore, completely student-run, we profit out of this
 - viii. Triton Television — film festivals and movie screenings
- 5. Pandya
 - a. For the budget, did TTV account for the possibility of a remote environment?
- 6. Wiggins
 - a. Budget is based on last year, funding would go to purchasing the rights to screen a movie
- 7. FC Butler
 - a. Move to approve Office of Enterprises and Services at \$46,750.00
 - i. Approved
- 8. VP Saxena
 - a. Office of External Affairs
 - i. Triton Lobby Corp — exactly the same as last year, consultation of a director, still conducting interviews for director position
 - ii. Operating — office supplies, don't know if it'll be used
 - iii. Conferences — UCSA to other external conferences, UCSA currently on a break, just in case meetings are held in-person at one point
 - iv. Outreach, Forums, Campaigns, Coalition-Building — we have a lot of policy caucuses and task forces to give monetary support (e.g., ACA 5 and 6 policy caucuses; minority caucus, will probably carry over); coalition-building: supporting local affairs office, Fall Quarter we do more work on a local level

9. Pandya
 - a. Conferences online — \$500.00, is that for fees?
10. Saxena
 - a. Actually 2 conferences in July and August, ensure on the chance UCSA requires people to be there
11. Levine
 - a. Clarify “Outreach... Coalition-Building”
12. Saxena
 - a. This is something new; idea of this → 4 task forces in External Affairs, policy caucuses, given that we’re digital, wanted to prepare to run campaigns, need to be proactive in the Fall
 - b. External Bodies that we create but not within AS
13. Saraf
 - a. Need for Tritons Voice and AS Civic Engagement?
14. Saxena
 - a. Civic Engagement is different this year
 - b. This year we have a new Civic Engagement office, brand new office, Tritons Voice is a new initiative under that office
15. Pandya
 - a. Triton Lobby Corp — what was the plan with the budget?
 - b. What is the vision with this? How will the money be spent?
16. Saxena
 - a. My goal to work on TLC → didn’t get much tangible work done
 - b. Supporting legislative director and working with local affairs and civic engagement
17. VP Kreitman
 - a. Clarification question: \$500.00 under Conferences for the August UCSA Board Meeting, why under Conferences
18. Saxena
 - a. Just to give flexibility, don’t perceive UCSA Conference being in-person, wanted to have money for fees
19. Quiroa
 - a. Civic Engagement Office, any funds towards education for Civic Engagement?
20. Saxena
 - a. This money is specifically focused on Fall efforts
 - b. Fall Revise → broaden budget in the Fall

- c. Expand civic education in Fall, not right now
21. Lonc
- a. Breakdown on Outreach... Coalition-Building, what is that exactly going to be spent on?
 - b. Triton Lobby Corp (TLC) — what exactly about TLC requires \$1,000
22. Saxena
- a. TLC: If it's online, we won't be touching the money
 - b. Preparing to be back in Fall, if we get travel clearance and offer travelers monetary support
 - c. On the chance that's online, that's why I didn't ask for much
 - d. Outreach: might seem excessive but policy caucuses are created on current issues, task forces needing funding, don't perceive spending all of the \$5K, could also be spent on traveling but not too likely
23. Levine
- a. What does TLC do to work for tangible results? How do these conferences work?
24. VP Saxena
- a. TLC: meant to serve as an independent commission
 - b. Serve as a policy-research body, civic engagement and lobbying body
 - c. Conferences — important for networking with policymakers & policy networks and what conferences are covering
25. FC Butler
- a. Move to approve Office of External Affairs budget for \$7,000.00
 - i. Approves
26. VP Saxena
- a. AS Civic Engagement — the new SOVAC
 - i. Tritons Vote — focusing on Fall efforts, massive but it was done at the advice of the previous director, fully intend to run this on the ground, working on campus in physical spaces, included voter registration merch, etc. it was really successful, petting zoo was popular, voter education materials, community outreach materials & coalition
 - ii. Travel
 - iii. Outreach
 - iv. Marketing — brand new office, we can't use SOVAC materials which is why the budget is high
27. Levine
- a. What is TurboVote? What elections is this covering?
28. VP Saxena
- a. Turbovote is the online service to help students vote, changing information for voting necessitates need to guide students in voting

- b. Tritons Vote — we have to run this on the ground
 - c. On elections, 2020 Presidential and Midterm Elections
 - d. Intending in using much of the \$13,500.00 for voting efforts
 - e. Still need this budget!
29. Bradt
- a. I think this budget is well-allocated
 - b. Work in this was important, lot of engagement was happening due to these efforts
 - c. This is most likely on the budget for the entire year?
30. Saxena
- a. This is literally the entire budget for the Fall and additional 1-2K for other efforts after this.
 - b. Majority of the budget that we need
 - c. We have to request more now because this is a Fall Quarter effort
 - d. This will cover us a lot now
31. AVP Hickman
- a. Do you have any opposition to the renaming of the AS Civic Engagement office to reduce the confusion between last year's budget?
 - b. Motion to rename to "AS Civic Engagement Office"
 - i. Approved
32. FC Butler
- a. Move to approve AS Civic Engagement Office at \$20,000
 - i. Approved
33. AVP Abby Reuter of Office of Local Affairs
- a. Office of Local Affairs
 - i. \$1,000: office supplies, operations line would cover for the entire year
 - ii. Travel — unsure if travel will happen but it was a huge part of the office → going to a lot of meetings, but currently are virtual, just need to secure the funding
 - iii. Outreach — social media needs, etc.
 - iv. Programming — for any events that require conference fees, initiatives that are necessary such as guides, events to keep students informed, lifting up issues on local events
34. Pandya
- a. Do you think you'll need the \$400 for travel-related expenses up to Week 5?
35. AVP Reuter
- a. I am basically basing that number on the past traveling costs
 - b. Don't necessarily anticipate any more meetings being held in person
 - c. If things get significantly better, it would be used, if not used, it would fall into the rest of the year
 - d. I'm not anticipating into a transition, would rather to have it transition over

36. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Office of Local Affairs at \$1,000.00
 - i. Approved
- b. General
 - i. Senator Funds — amount we give senators to undertake their projects
 - ii. AS Open House — provide food on Open House nights
 - iii. Marketing — providing stuff for elections

37. Levine

- a. I spoke with the previous Engineering senator and others, he wasn't as involved as he'd like; wanting to spearhead some initiatives, desire to host another townhall to advocating for a four-year exception to the 4-year cap on graduation time, suggestion to make some modest changes to the Senator Funds, just wanted to put that out there

38. Bradt

- a. Do we expect this Open House to happen over Fall Quarter?

39. FC Butler

- a. At the discretion of VPCA Kreitman and whatever Senator who wants to collaborate
- b. This is a full year's budget last year, no pressure to increase it in the course of the year
- c. Definitely going to have to be creative, whatever it may be, some creative solution with the Open House
- d. Chance that we're in some hybrid model Winter or Spring Quarter, we could have something in-person

40. Lara

- a. Advise Senate to encourage funding for this, my office uses this Senator Funds budget
- b. My office was the only office that touches the Senator Funds budget, keep that in mind

41. Harper Estus

- a. What are the Centralized Senator Office Hours?

42. FC Butler

- a. We did something on Library Walk where we sat on Library Walk, passed out Rock Stars, cookies, other pastries
- b. We did something like this every quarter to get feedback from constituents

43. VP Kreitman

- a. Sending a link to the previous Senator projects from last year, posting on Facebook group later
- b. Projects don't always need funding, stress that there are other opportunities

44. FC Butler
 - a. Really pushing AVPs to push out flushed out ideas, inappropriate to ask for more money when there aren't definitive ideas
45. Pandya
 - a. Encourage to pass this budget as it stands
 - b. Not every project will require funds but there are 30 of us that share this funds
 - c. Think that it is a reasonable ask
 - d. For AS Marketing → this is how we get our name out here
46. Levine
 - a. How is AS marketing funding going to be used?
47. Heather Belk
 - a. Collaboration of VP of Campus Affairs for social media promotion
 - b. Determined for the year, bring awareness of AS's services
48. FC Butler
 - a. Move to approve "General" at \$207,912.32
 - i. Approved
 - b. Administration — locked in
 - i. Administrative Supplies and Expenses
 - ii. Admin. Student Salaries
 - iii. Staff Development
49. Pandya
 - a. Why is the AS President's Assistant here & not the stipend category?
50. FC Butler
 - a. It's an hourly paid position.
51. Saraf
 - a. Way to have funds allocated to supplies and expenses for sanitation?
52. Belk
 - a. We are a part of the University Centers so they're responsible for sanitation, we could increase the office supplies line item if we want to have our own items
53. FC Butler
 - a. Each office has their own operating funds too, if they wanted to buy their own sanitation, they could
54. Saraf
 - a. Motion to increase Office Supplies to read \$1,000.00 from \$500.00
 - i. Approved

55. FC Butler

- a. Move to approve Administration at \$199,750.52
 - i. Approved
- b. Cabinet and Senate Stipends
 - i. I added two weeks for all executive members, added 1 week for AVPs

56. Saxena

- a. No change with campus organization director except the additional two weeks
- b. Campus Organizing Director is a new position

57. FC Butler

- a. One way to support students is to hire more office staff

58. Alexis Orrick

- a. Proposing adding eight weeks to my stipend
- b. We've had an increase in cases from Academic Integrity
- c. Will need to get some sort of job, possible to increase my stipend, plan on holding 11 office hours and meeting with students from different time zones

59. VP Saxena

- a. I do not have a chief of staff
- b. Reason for increase for Abby because she has a crucial effort, attending a lot of meetings, working on SD policing issues

60. Manu Agni

- a. Rude to AVP Local Affairs, implies that one AVP works more over any other one
- b. My office in particular, I attend more meetings over the summer than any other time
- c. All AVPs put in the effort in their position, highlight how important it is that AVPs that same level of work
- d. Amend all AVPs Offices from 33 weeks → 36 weeks
 - i. Seconded but objected
 - ii. Important to not make distinctions between AVP positions
 - iii. Sr. AVP have a separate level of work, stipend level is higher
 - iv. Remind the Senate — if you're unsatisfied with the work on an AVP, executive members or Senate members can reduce the stipend or remove them from the position

61. Pandya (objection)

- a. I totally agree, I'd just like to say that I was wondering whether or not the solution is to raise everything or lower the AVP Local Affairs back down
- b. All the AVPs are working around this time

62. Levine

- a. Exact salaries are set how?

63. Agni

- a. By standing rules, approved in the last Senate term

64. Lara

- a. A lot of AVPs do a lot of work, doesn't discredit things that AVPs do
- b. Do agree with Manu that we should compensate fairly
- c. But constituents could criticize that decision, constituent backlash, we aren't necessarily to work during the summer although implied

65. FC Butler

- a. **It can only be increased to 35 weeks in the standing rules**

66. Saxena

- a. Just an individualistic perspective, AVP of Local Affairs is working hard, not in the relative context with others
- b. Not trying to make a distinguishing factor, I have one AVP!
- c. Ultimately up to y'all, but still going to advocate for my AVP

67. Lonc

- a. Bad idea to expecting our AVPs to put in work that isn't compensated
- b. Stipends are controversial because we're paying ourselves
- c. If we adjust, it's appropriate to raise them but choosing which AVPs is unsustainable because of how our budget is set up

68. AVP Lee

- a. I understand where everyone is coming from
- b. Suggest that we log hours on what we were doing, make sure transparency is there for the student body

69. Gabelman

- a. All offices are impacted by the pandemic
- b. But also everyone knew what you were getting into
- c. You either will increase all of them or none of them

70. Levine

- a. Attention to disparity between Senator stipends and AVP stipends
- b. Shouldn't be a priority at the moment
- c. I could understand a smaller disparity, discussion might have to happen on a different day, since we're the ones voting

71. Bradt

- a. I don't see a maximum number for weeks, where did the 35 week maximum come from?

72. FC Butler

- a. I'm hearing conflicting information as well

73. Weng

- a. FC has the discretion to add the weeks
- b. Challenge is that for some positions that compensations are then explicit
- c. President has authority of the Standing Rules
- d. Two main interpretations: 1. FC has discretion over the 30 weeks OR 2. First role is written first
 - i. Some gray area → President has the greatest authority over standing rules

74. Lara

- a. Defending Saxena's AVP, personally believe that it's beneficial to be open to other AVPs and have an open mindset
- b. The reasoning for the stipends is for the bare minimum workload, it gets very subjective
- c. A bare minimum with AVP with multiple committee meetings, etc., bare minimum expected workload justifies the stipend rate
- d. To increase all of the rates, it may not be warranted

75. VP Saxena

- a. Main point is that I do support this amendment
- b. Mistaken to take an individualistic perspective
- c. Working to be more open with AVPs
- d. I apologize for not taking in consideration of other AVPs

76. Pandya

- a. Public Input — lot of frustration and anger from students, approve this tonight, all of AS could receive backlash

77. Sarucki

- a. Bring about to 33 weeks, talk about stipends at another time

78. Giangtran

- a. I will allow FC Butler to the non-academic weeks at his discretion
- b. Really not the time to be doing this
- c. No foreseeable future within this meeting
- d. This conversation has gone for a year, not really appropriate right now
- e. We shouldn't raise one and not the other

79. FC Butler

- a. I believe it is best that we go to 33 weeks
- b. Unprecedented situation

80. Manu Agni

- a. No desire to have a discrepancy
- b. I would like to move to call a question on this amendment

81. Kreitman

- a. Go into a hand vote

HAND VOTE on THE MOTION TO MAKE ALL NON-SENIOR AVPs' STIPEND 35 WEEKS

YES: 5

NO: 18

ABSTAIN: 4

Going back to the **General**

82. AVP Hickman

- a. The AVP Local Affairs is in an interesting position where the Office of External Affairs doesn't have a Sr. AVP → comes an extra workload that isn't assigned workload
- b. Not right to distinguish between certain AVPs but this was purposefully done to be a Sr. AVP timeline but not that extend that pay, just the timeline → compromise
- c. A lot of that got missed in the other discussion
- d. Sr. AVP of Student Advocacy → Butler needs to approve the 44 weeks only
- e. Standing rules that Sr. AVPs are paid for 35 weeks and AVPs for 32 minimum

83. Pandya

- a. Amend AVP Local Affairs to (1 x \$100.00/wk x 33wk) which would lower to \$3,300.00
 - i. Approved

84. VP Saxena

- a. I apologize if anybody took it personally
- b. Really just an equity based decision based on the number of staff at my office
- c. I fully respect the decision

85. FC Butler

- a. This is the only time to change them, we can't rise stipends in the Fall
- b. Move to approve Cabinet and Senate Stipends \$215,195.02
 - i. Approved
- c. **Move to Reorder to Office of Concerts and Events**

[RECESS]

86. FC Butler

- a. Move to amend Sun God Festival to \$780,000
 - i. Approved

87. AVP Lee

- a. We also have to bring back the Contingency dollars which was \$20,000.00

- b. For Horizon, wish to see this come back, won't be spent into Winter Quarter, deadline will be before the Fall Budget meeting, will let AS know about Horizon and if it doesn't happen, will be reallocating → \$140,000.00
- c. Go for Special Events as well as the Bear Gardens → won't spent until he events actually happen, make sure to reallocate
- d. Appreciate that the Marketing goes to the \$35,000. ASCE has a platform consisting of 16,000 students, our events can be a lot of different things
- e. Leadership Development looks good right now, will request funds in the Fall

88. FC Butler

- a. Motion to amend Horizon to \$140,000.00
 - i. Approved
- b. Motion to amend Sun God Contingency to \$20,000.00
 - i. Approved
- c. Motion to amend Bear Gardens to read \$48,000.00
 - i. Approved
- d. Motion to amend Bear Garden Senior Sendoff \$16,000.00
 - i. Approved

89. AVP Lee

- a. Special Events is spent towards smaller events, events are more niche
- b. Reinstate that to ASCE could work together with other offices to create events
- c. Smaller events that raise awareness of other movements, causes, etc.
- d. Events such as environmental justice and etc. could be planned
- e. Room to work with offices and to make events that are more thought-provoking
- f. If we don't use these funds, we will re-allocate them.
- g. Have the potential to plan events at least

90. FC Butler

- a. Amend Special Events to \$40,000.00
 - i. Approved
- b. Amend Marketing to \$3,500.00
 - i. Motion is passed
- c. ***IMPORTANT NOTE: MONEY IS NOT GONE; IT ALLOWS AVP LEE TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT EVENTS AND EVENT PLANNING OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR.***

91. AVP Lee

- a. Thank you for trusting me to do my job; I will try to be as transparent as possible.

92. Butler

- a. Move to approve Office of Concerts and Events at \$1,110,700.00

93. Levine

- a. I don't think this well-received by the student body considering the sentiment

- b. Very clearly communicated that isn't official, we can receive the same backlash
94. AVP Lara
- a. It would benefit to state to the UCSD Undergraduate body how these funds are being used
 - b. Motion to add Fall Online Events \$50,000.00 to return to \$100,000.00
 - i. It is impossible to plan events effectively without a full budget
 - ii. Given the concerns expressed last time, valid to request that money to be returned
 - iii. Capability of events to foster community depends on funding
 - iv. Lots of people were saying that events do have quality and merit → creates sense of normalcy, fosters community
 - v. Events have potential to foster community in a virtual context
95. Pandya
- a. Sentiment against keeping ASCE budget as it was, had some productive insight
 - b. Want student body to be aware of these changes and what they meant
96. Bradt
- a. Main thing is that online programming was not popular among students for the most part
 - b. A UCSD student poll on a Twitter account: 84% did not attend any remote activities during Spring Quarter
 - i. 5% didn't think it was not worth it
97. AVP Lee
- a. As event planners, we can work with what we're given but it changes the quality and numbers of our events
 - b. That is the limitation! I had been planning that it'd been \$100,000
 - c. Spring Quarter was unique, student staff was dealing with the pandemic also
 - d. People are not hired to market online events, don't want to discredit hard work but the situation changed
 - e. Planning a lot more training going into the Fall to expand our outreach for our online events
 - f. Possible to give me an opportunity to see if it's viable?
 - g. Spring is not reflective of what staff can do
98. Levine
- a. Not many students would be engaged in the first place due to the polls and surveys
 - b. Backlash is inevitable
99. Allen
- a. First off, survey sent out is more reliable
 - b. Twitter poll is not reliable, we don't have a reliable measure
 - c. We don't have an accurate perception on student opinion

- d. Good policy is not aligned with people's perception of procedural intricacies
100. AVP Lara
- a. I think it's unfair to gauge attendance based on Spring history
 - b. Not equipped to do online events → lower quality events, nationwide thing
 - c. There is a sentiment that they want a sense of normalcy and community
 - d. Comparing it to the Fall, Spring quarter is when students have had to stress on moving back home
 - e. We don't need to spend that money until it happens
 - f. Not an all or nothing situation
 - g. Most people were complaining about Sun God! → due to the public's lack of knowledge that we don't spend the money until March
 - h. If we make a statement of how our budget works, anger and frustration against our Sun God.
 - i. Backlash is inevitable → never a way without some degree of backlash
 - j. Recommend to return funds to ASCE
101. Quiroa
- a. Should release a statement on what we just did
 - b. Spend our summer to give funds to students

HAND VOTE on AMENDMENT OF FALL ONLINE EVENTS TO READ \$100,000

YES: 19

NO: 8

ABSTAIN: 1

102. FC Butler
 - a. Move to approve Office of Concerts and Events at \$1,160,700.00
 - i. Approved
 - b. We have \$400,873.54 to allocate for the Fall Revise, not accounting for some carryforward from auxiliaries and some of our budget from last year
 - c. Will make a statement about what happened tonight
 - d. Move to approve the 2020-2021 AS SUMMER BUDGET IN ITS ENTIRETY**

ROLL CALL VOTE

1. Jung — YES
2. Quiroa — ABSTAIN
3. Lonc — YES
4. Allen — YES
5. Tyler — YES
6. Estus — YES
7. Kulkarni — YES
8. Zarucki — YES
9. Reyes — YES
10. Rollison — YES
11. Saraf — YES
12. Gharibian — YES
13. Pandya — ABSTAIN
14. Levine — ABSTAIN
15. Ying — YES
16. Francisco — NO
17. Baysic — N/A
18. Bradt — NO
19. Taneja — YES
20. Thompson — YES
21. Gabelman — ABSTAIN
22. Rodriguez — YES
23. Shen — ABSTAIN
24. Lam — N/A
25. Cheng — N/A
26. Blackshire — ABSTAIN
27. Terzian — YES
28. Wood — YES
29. Zekowski — N/A
30. Giangtran — YES
31. Kreitman — YES
32. Saxena — NO
33. Butler — YES

THE 2020-2021 SUMMER BUDGET PASSES WITH 20 YES VOTES, 3 NO NOTES, 6 ABSTENTIONS (not including those who neither casted a yes, no, or abstain vote).

New Business

1. Giangtran
 - a. Move to put new appointments into New Business
 - i. Approved
 - b. I would like to amend appointments to read...
 - i. Appointment of Erica Chan as Director of Student Health and Advocacy for the Office of Health and Wellness
 - ii. Appointment of Maxwell Gruber as the Director of Mental Health Advocacy for the Office of Health and Wellness
 - iii. Appointment of Isabella Ying as the Director of Sexual Health Advocacy for the Office of Health and Wellness
 - iv. Appointment of Rebecca Chan as Marketing Strategist of the Office of Health and Wellness.
 - c. Amendment passes and is approved.

Open Forum

1. Gabelman
 - a. Budget passed! Put on some pressure on AVPs to make change
 - b. Reach out to fellow senators, keep that same energy in the future
 - c. We can work together to help make this change
 - d. Last time we see each other until Fall
2. Pandya
 - a. Congratulations for passing the budget!
 - b. There will be backlash about this
 - c. Wish everyone a great summer
3. Saraf
 - a. Thank you Manu and Butler for guiding us, great job!
4. VPCA Kreitman
 - a. Discussion about having a Senate lead, did not end up here
 - b. Start within the office to start a long-term report on what the Senator projects are
 - c. Start a more comprehensive list → please reach out to me to maintaining a record
5. Levine
 - a. Find out ways to help students during the pandemic, maximize number of students aided
 - b. Best way to reach out AS Senate members during the Summer?
6. Kreitman
 - a. Facebook group is a good way
7. Quiroa

- a. Over the summer, informal committee and bring it during Fall
- 8. Saxena
 - a. Lots of advocacy efforts, external advocacy opportunities, reach out!
- 9. Lara
 - a. Suggest opening a document on brainstorming to see where collaboration can be done!

Roll Call

MEETING ADJOURNED at 2:04 A.M. PST.