MEETING MINUTES ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

The Wednesday, February 27, 2019 meeting of the Associated Students Senate was held at 6:04 PM in the Price Center East Forum, Speaker Nikhil Pothuru presiding and Clerk Christian Walker was present.

The following members were present: Kiara Gomez, Daron Woods, Caroline Siegel-Singh, Nathan Park, Kenji Asakura, Emma Potter, Jasraj Johl, David Hickman, Leslie Silva, Amor Goetz, Aaron Hanna, Annika Manlutac, Kimberley Giangtran, Noah Palafox, Spencer Lee, Nicholas Butler, Eni Ikuku, Arthur Porter, Eric Ron, Eleanor Grudin, Brandon Milledge, Melina Reynoso, Myra Haider, Jamshed Asharov.

A special presentation was provided by Valerie Polichair from IT Services on the Student Tech Fee referendum.

The presentation addressed the following questions:

- What technology would be funded?
- What software licenses?
- What is the IT Services Budget?
- Why the proposed services aren't being funded out of existing resources?
- These are essential services. What are the ramifications if the referendum fails?
- Why are there different fees at other campuses?
- How much funding do their IT departments get from campus?
- Can we get statistics on UG vs. Graduate usage of computer lab services? Can we get data by division (especially for grads)?

The following members asked questions:

- **VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh:** The current budget request approved by regents allowed for 41 million dollars for certain items and machinery. Why wasn't this included in the state budget request and why should it be covered by a student fee referendum rather than tuition dollars?
 - o Valerie Polichair: I don't know the answer to that question.
- **Senator Reynoso:** If this was to get passed by student referendum, where would the fee show up?
 - John, Financial Officer for Student Affairs: It would show up just like the activity fee.
- VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh: What did implementation of the fee look like on other campuses? It would be significant for us to approve it, given affordability challenges. Charging fees as opposed to implementing the cost into tuition is concerning.
 - Valerie Polichair: What I know about other campuses is that some other campuses imposed it as a per unit fee and the students didn't provide input or vote on the actual process. Beyond that, I don't have a lot of information on the process.

- **Senator Grudin:** How would this fee be computed in terms of financial aid that students are receiving? How is ITS currently funded and where is the money source for right now?
 - Valerie Polichair: It is funded by a combination of core and recharge funds. A few special funding pockets come in, but most funding comes from CSO out of general funds, or recharge, in which we charge departments or individuals on campus. Money that comes in for instructional purposes is all core.
 - John, Financial Officer for Student Affairs: Campus standard for aid is 29%, distributed based on need. The cost will be added to the cost of attendance.
- Senator Reynoso: When you came in last time, we requested to know why you chose this plan over other plans. Are there any updates as to why this is the best plan we can provide, as opposed to others? You all came to the conclusion you need more money to pay for these, but how did you come to the conclusion that student referendum fee is the best way to fund this?
 - Valerie Polichair: I'm not sure this is going to answer the question exactly. The initial option was to ask for more money and the answer was no. If the campus can't give us more money, another option is to stop doing one of the things we're currently doing and reallocate funds. The problem with this is it wouldn't help us on wifi side. Using instructional funds, we were given with the intent of assisting instruction is not something academic affairs is keen in having us do. It would be taking away money for software, computers, ect. Even internally, we talked about putting a new LMS into place, which would save us money, but level of unhappiness that was expressed was very high. We have a fixed amount of space to move around in. The last option is to go back to departments and have the departments figure out what they can swap around to pay for it. That led us to come to you, to try to get the funding through a student fee. The plus side of this option is that students can control what the money gets spent on.
- **Senator Grudin:** What elements of control do we have and how are we not just turning money over?
 - Valerie Polichair: There will be a Governance Committee established, composed 80% of students, which will monitor spending of fee. If governance committee decides something is not their preference, they would not be able to spend the fee in that way.

Senator Butler moved to enter into moderated caucus for 25 minutes regarding the tech fee proposal.

With no objections, Senate entered into a 25 minute moderated caucus regarding the tech fee proposal.

The following members spoke during moderated caucus:

• **Senator Butler:** The GSA has been working on tech fee, and wanted me to share a video with you all. In terms of other campuses, Berkeley did process similar to SFAC. Different groups did presentations on different projects, voted, dished out, and spent money in that way. UCSB did something very similar and posted a timeline, however that failed to stay updated.

- Senator Palafox: My concern with the fee is that it will not only cause financial burden for students, but that it will also cause problems for diversity, and affect enrollment rates. UCSD needs improvements on diversity. We're digging into the pockets of all UCSD students, but the fee hurts underrepresented students more. A small portion of the funding will go back to financial aid, which is a great idea, but doesn't impose any clear accountability measures. Staff is intelligent enough to propose other solutions. Charging a fee every quarter is excessive. We should concern ourselves with how it's affecting the student demographic as a whole and think about how it affects accessibility for all students.
- **President Gomez:** The graduate student in the video highlighted what I was thinking. If graduate students are going to be on board at one point, why put it on the ballot now, rather than waiting longer? It was proposed a while ago and came to AS late. If graduate students are not willing to work with us right now, maybe we should wait.
- **VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh:** The financial concern valid, but at UC we have a finance model. Increases in fees like this don't hurt low income students, because if they make under \$80,000 in annual income, they will get a financial aid increase to cover the fee.
- Senator Milledge: I wanted to echo the point about how it wouldn't necessarily affect underrepresented students, but how it will affect the majority of students on class materials, grades, podcast, given that interactions at school primarily happen online. Improvements to wifi may seem like background, but it is central, especially for STEM programs, where students need the right tools. We should at least put it on the ballot as soon as possible, so students can see the positive impact that this fee will allow for, in digital and wireless infrastructure.
- Senator Ikuku: I wanted to echo Kiara's point of the time crunch. A lot of time when we're talking about tech fee we're talking about our own personal opinions. Our job is to relay information to constituents to the best of our ability and not to rob students of ability to decide for themselves whether they want the fee. If we present the proposal to our constituents and make it clear that we think that it is too early right now, we are letting them know our expert opinions. It is their decision to vote for or against it. We should lean more toward passing it to our constituents, rather than deciding on it by our personal opinion.
- Financial Controller Park: Once the referendum passes we can't make changes to it. The Sunset clause is not viable clause anymore to bring GSA in. While we shouldn't put our opinions into it, we are shaping how it is formed, and we should make sure we provide the best information possible to our students. We could always run a special election. It doesn't have to be a general election. We should be careful about it. Once we pass it, we cannot amend it unless we pass another referendum, which would be harder to pass.
- **Senator Johl:** To Senator Ikuku's point, if we allow students to make the decision, we should be looking at it from that point. But the other point is that if we do pass it, to allow students to make decision on special aspects, we need to be asking it right now, who's going to be informing students.
- **VP Campus Affairs Woods:** The AS survey is still not statistically representative, as off-campus and transfer students are over represented. Some areas of the survey are fairly representative, but not all areas are. The survey is still in the process. We need more time to accurately capture what students feel about the issue. So far we have more nay's than yay's. \$15 is the average of what survey respondents are willing to pay. To echo what other execs

have said, we should probably give it more time, especially since GSA willing to work with us.

- Senator Reynoso: My concern is that our school is already going to need more improvements, on infrastructure, ect. Technically the fee wouldn't be increase in our tuition, but what stops other offices from coming in and doing the same thing? Then they could say they need to add another fee onto it. It is not sustainable to keep adding fees. I want to reiterate the point that it needs more time. ITS couldn't answer questions about where the money comes from. How can we expect our constituents to have enough information if we don't?
- **Senator Butler:** As a timeline, in order to get this referendum on the normal ballot, it would have to be approved by next Wednesday. We have a week to decide whether to vote on it. We have to vote for a special election in week 8, and it would have to be voted on by week 2 in spring quarter.
- **Senator Johl:** About the fee, basically we would be allowing administration to use us as a source of money that is not tuition. Has this been done before? It would be different from tuition, as students are directly putting their input in this situation.
- **Financial Controller Park:** For special elections, Senator Butler stated that week 8 is ideal, but my understanding is it has to be 5 weeks before special election happens. We can't submit it less than 5 weeks from the special election.
- VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh: Tuition dollars and money they receive should go toward
 it. This is a result of state divestment. They're putting the campus in a situation where our
 campus has to vote for the regents to increase tuition, or alternatively we have a
 referendum and are taxing students. This is not the problem, but a symptom of a greater
 issue.
- **Senator Grudin to VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh:** If we were to pass this, do you feel that this would be counteracting work in Sacramento?
 - VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh: No, but if we're being responsible to students who
 elect us, we should know more about the university budget than the average
 student. We need to make sure that students know that the fee is the best it can be.
 It is irresponsible just leaving it up to them to decide.
- **Senator Morris:** My question is why ITS was told no when they asked for money. Why were they told no and why didn't they fight harder to cover the internet?
- **Senator Milledge:** Because the state has divested student dollars, we're stuck with what we have. The question is what are we going to do to help students on campus. In regards to the question of what's stopping other departments from having similar proposals, I think other departments should be coming to us and proposing small fees here and there. What we need to think about is what infrastructure is needed. If we're responsible, we'll say no.

Financial Controller Park moved to exit moderated caucus.

With no objections, Senate exited moderated caucus.

Reports of External News:

VP External Affairs Siegel-Singh provided a report on External News: Yesterday, in Sacramento there was a hearing on the CalGrant program, where the state provides financial aid to college students. They are looking at comprehensive reform of program. I testified and made clear that student priorities in reform would be an increase to the program to account for the cost of attendance. Also proposed was financial aid for summer courses and uc institutional aid that can be used to cover the cost of living. They are also considering expanding access to CalGrant for transfer students. They are trying to expand the program to make sure that all students have equal access and make sure we support not only tuition increases but also cost of living. Because of the generous model, low income students who make less that 80,000 do not pay tuition. The Office of External Affairs is bringing students to a lobby conference, and the applications have closed. They are also working on voter registration for voters. We are looking on providing advocacy on the state budget in March. State divestment is a serious issue for students on campus. It's our job to be knowledgeable and make sure we're advocating for them at the right level.

Reports of Members:

- VP Campus Affairs Woods: I attended a meeting with the student transportation committee and talked about micromobility training for everyone on campus, especially with changes involving scooters. I met with campus resource management and came up with the idea of informing students of legal regulations, their rights, why they're being ticketed and when is it appropriate. It would take the form of training, but instead of having a test at the end that they would pass, it would be a game, using different modes of transportation. I want to work with the office of student disabilities to make it friendly to them. The question is whether we should we create a separate committee or work within STAC. It could count as campus wide committee and would take place in the Spring. I'll have a discussion with Kelly, on whether we would have a new committee. The Vice Chancellor of Resource Management is supportive and would provide food.
- Senator Asakura: Attended a WCSAB meeting on Monday. For CAPS, the director is interested in coming in for some time to present an overview. CAPS gave updates saying they are looking for full-time career psychologists. They are trying to hire a lot more service providers, but it takes a long time to hire. Student Services is transferring to electronic system. Students need to send immunizations before july 14 (their deadline). There may be processing lag time, so if they don't have immunization before that there could be a hold on their academics. There are 4 million extra dollars of fees for UC Ships and they are using the money to buy down their premium. Students decided this is not sustainable. They may decide to spend it in different ways, online or on medical programs. They would like recommendations by third week by March, to decide what to do with the extra dollars. They are starting to move away from current providers, are thinking about making own insurance program, and are still trying to calculate risk.
- Financial Controller Park: I recently sat on SFAC. They are going through budget requests. I
 met with student retention and success. They are finalizing reviews. The top choices are to
 make permanent the basic need coordinator funding, which is funded with Campus Provost,
 food initiative, and VC Student Affairs. There is a huge return of investment on the student
 needs coordinator. They see it as a huge staple, that we should continue to keep, and

continue to tackle basic needs, so we can't allow funding to expire. We would lose the student needs coordinator temporarily if we don't fund that. Also discussed was adding a graduate case manager. Graduate students don't get enough resources, and don't have a case manager, while undergraduates have three. As undergraduate reps, when we heard about graduate students, we also supported graduate students getting a case manager to support their needs.

- Senator Potter: EDI advisory committee met this morning. On the principles of community survey, 500 people were polled (student, faculty, staff), but the survey is not valid until we have larger representation of students responding. Keep an eye out for the new survey, and share with college councils. The Principles of Community sets the tone, establishes what community we seek to have, and is the basis for attracting students to our campus. Students are most affected by it, so they need to be more represented.
- Senator Hickman: Last Thursday I attended a campus community planning community meeting. It was a long meeting. If you are interested in biological sciences, they are building a new fish facility, a new bio facility behind campus services complex, approved by committee, which will only affect bio field system. The open space preserve has had changes made to it by campus and has add-lines, including a policy of no net loss. They have now changed it to applying to all park space, so we can cut down more trees, which they did to accommodate the need for buildings. They have a 2 to 1 tree placement program, where for every 1 tree we take down we have to put in 2. That isn't a big policy change because of the amount of space it relates to. We also discussed micromobility. We had someone from the mobility office talk about the sustainability studies they're doing, which they have gotten funding for. They are looking to make separate lanes, but the only thing planned out right now is ridgewalk (phase 1), which will separate pedestrian and micromobility lines better, which should occur within the next couple years. They are also changing signs on library walk and ridgewalk to yield to pedestrian signs. UCPD is encouraging it because they are enforceable vehicle signs with laws.
- President Gomez: UCSD is going to full set with athletics. If anyone is interested in the brand and outreach part, they need someone to sit on a committee, called the student athlete experience, which is charged with making sure that students are first, that the student experience is captured fully, and that students have all resources for support. I am also currently working on putting together something like a peer coaches network for students with disabilities. The issue is that students don't know how to ask for accommodations. If accommodations are not being met, we need to assure that faculty is held accountable. I am working on a pilot program that would be housed under student advocacy office. Students would be training on the law and university policy, so they can go in with the student who wants to receive an accommodation. A portal is also being developed where students can apply for accommodation online.

Reports of Senator Projects:

• **Senator Grudin:** I had Senator Stipend Summit on Monday. There was a great conversation about the stipend system. Students provided input at the meeting and also sent in emails, which were voiced during the discussion. The takeaway was that the stipend system exists, but because the role of senators is not clearly defined in standing rules and flexibility exists

for Senators to interpret the role as they want, people are not comfortable with increasing stipends, due to concerns of accountability. There needs to be structured expectations of senators, brought to the standing rules committee. We also need to make sure that there is conversation between senators and public and make sure that students know that we are there to serve them and that we are getting paid. Something that was sent in is that it would be nice if there was an amendment added that they could increase for the following year. On the flip side, I met with SPACES muir writing program, about expanding offering of MLA textbooks in SPACES writing program, to see if students can receive for free. If you have readers that are not textbooks, SPACES can't buy them because it is short term, and it is against their policy to buy those. I'm interested in collaborating with college councils, come out so that students who have financial struggles can afford them.

- Senator Manlutac: I'm going to be hosting an event with Senator Lee on March 4, the Students of Social Sciences Town Hall. If you're curious about it make sure you attend from 4-5:30pm in Weber room. The Dean of Social Sciences will be there, as well as officers from Student Services.
- **Senator Asakura:** The last senator office hours was today. We have feedback sheets in the AS Senator cubicle. Feel free to go back there and pick up the sheets. Miscellaneous comments should be on the campus-wide sheet. We should be planning the same event in next quarter.
- Senator Morris: An event is coming up, where I will put boxes on UCSD shuttles, which provide snacks, bluebooks. I started TAP on time for the event. I want college senators to take back to councils what routes they think students use most. I get concerned about south campus. I want to cut fees imposed on grad students. The purpose of the event is to support undergraduate students doing finals. Feedback would be helpful in putting together the budget.
- **Financial Controller Park:** If you wish to tap into funds, do it before TAP starts, at least 3 weeks in advance. This makes it easier for me and TAP staff to support funding. We really prefer it before the 3 week deadline so we can get everything you need. If you're going to be doing office hours, make sure you let me know what you're going to spend. If you want to start office hours make sure you tell me that.

Question Time:

- Senator Grudin asked VP Campus Affairs Woods: Regarding the micromobility training committee, I love the committee but don't use micro mobility lanes. A lot of people are hesitant because if they don't use it, how can they be used? Have you thought about getting students from outside senate?
 - VP Campus Affairs Woods: I have thought about using students from outside. The point is restructuring the campus to separate lanes. The committee will be used to serve as a gap, as the improvements won't be underway until 2023. The committee will be used as a stop gap measure to open up to a broad cross section of students more about how micromobility affects us on campus.
- Senator Johl asked Senator Hickman: For the open space committee, you talked about 2 to 3 policy. I recall that they were hesitant with making changes to tree policy, which would

cause harm to students. I thought they decided not to do that. I'm confused that decisions were made and whether they're set on them, because I was under the impression that they would be talking about it during the next meeting.

- Senator Hickman: This is in future planning, so this would affect how we go about in the future. It's not something that if you're committee voted no on, that could be overridden. I could get in touch with him on who presented it, but doesn't think that would be an issue.
- **Financial Controller Park asked President Gomez:** For peer coaches for disabled students, would that include the note-taker situation?
 - President Gomez: It's not for note takers, but rather for helping students with getting accommodations. I'm currently working on the note taker situation with the disability student workgroup.
- Senator Reynoso asked Senator Hickman: CCPC is more so a planning committee, that plans tangible things. Is there any talk about doing anything sooner in preliminary stages to mediate changes happening now?
 - Senator Hickman: CCPC mostly deals with long-time planning of building and open spaces. As far as painting, there isn't any medium-term plans presented to us. For mobility, there probably will be medium-term plans, like how there are mediumterm plans with trees. Before that it will be separated by lines, but the committee mostly doesn't deal with that.
- **Senator Hickman asked Senator Asakura:** You said the new immunization deadline was July 14, but wasn't that already the deadline for state students? What was new with that?
 - Senator Askaura: They're moving to an electronic system, which will take more to process, so students need to send in their records as soon as possible, as there will most likely be a processing hold.
- Senator Grudin asked VP Campus Affairs Woods: Regarding micromobility concerns being addressed, I'm concerned about the fact that students are being injured on a weekly basis because of micro mobility issues. How are those students being represented in SPACES. Something should happen now, not just in 2023.
 - OP Campus Affairs Woods: That's one of the reasons I want to create a committee. Online training is only part of it. I'm working directly with the campus transformation programming manager. They usually stick signage on ground, to create a temporary micro mobility lane, but people scramble stickers around ridgewalk. That's why I want to have a detailed student oversight committee, to have things to do in the short to medium term.
- **Senator Asharov asked Senator Manlutac:** For the students of the social sciences, can you tell me exactly what the Town Hall is going to do?
 - Senator Manlutac: I've been working on it as part of a project. The event is a Students of Social Sciences Town Hall to talk about what the program is. It's a collaborative organization, which consists of presidents and reps from other offices and different organizations that serve on it. We are trying to get input back for what students are working on program, and issues that students want to be raised.

Reports of Committees:

Financial Controller Park reported on behalf of Finance Committee that the following item was approved: Allocation of \$397.20 from Mandate Reserves for Shelving for ASCE.

With no objections, the item stands approved.

VP Campus Affairs Woods reported on behalf of Legislative Committee that the following item was tabled indefinitely: AS Accessibility Solution.

With no objections, the item stands tabled indefinitely.

VP Campus Affairs Woods reported on behalf of Legislative Committee that the following item was discharged to senate floor: The Accurate Measuring of Constituents' Opinions Act.

With no objections, the item stands discharged to senate floor.

Senator Giltner moved to approve The Accurate Measuring of Constituents' Opinions Act.

Senator Giangtran objected.

Senator Giltner spoke on his motion: I think the item is fine to be approved as is.

Senator Giangran spoke on her objection: After going over it in legislative committee, I had a small issue with the grammar of the act and think that it should be corrected before passing the act.

The following members spoke on the item:

- **Senator Asharov asked Assistant Director of AS Weng**: If we were to approve the act, where exactly would it be in standing rules?
 - Assistant Director of AS John Weng: When you pass a resolution, it doesn't
 necessarily go into the standing rules. It can be a formal stance or call to action, but
 it doesn't necessarily turn into a standing rule. If you go onto the AS Websites, there
 is a section for resolutions that have been passed. We are working on table of past
 resolutions, in a long google drive folder, and we are trying to figure out how to load
 them.
- **Senator Reynoso**: The main concern is that we need to oversee the process. We feel more comfortable putting under the Campus Affairs Office. If we do end up passing it, it would be under Vice President Campus Affairs Office.
- **Senator Potter:** The general feeling is that it would be a positive thing. We were confused about the function of putting in standing rules instead of making it act. It deals with the conflict and representing ourselves as clear as possible.
- **Senator Giltner:** Why doesn't someone just write it in standing rules? It would make more sense if we want to put it under that office.

- President Gomez: We are working on it. The sentiment is we wanted to pass something that will address this as soon as possible.
- VP Campus Affairs Woods: Were the bill to pass, the campus affairs office would be compelled to create a data policy to comply with the act that was passed by senate. The Office of Campus Affairs has a Data Officer, and staff that could help with those things. The office already has preadaptation for the act.
- **Financial Controller Park:** Looking at it, how do we know the criteria for something to be objective and who gets to decide the VCA recommendation?
- **Senator Hickman:** It would go to Campus Affairs office to review for checking of objectivity, in order to make sure that anything that looks like the entire body sponsored it is something that entire as can get behind. It is about making sure that something that has AS branding on it will yield results.
- **Senator Milledge:** I'm concerned that act says that UCSD can only use it for specific allocations, and the possibility of a situation where we have a majority of students who applied for it and they have a strawman. The Act would allow us to say that we don't necessarily need to listen, which would be problematic.
- Senator Hickman: We need to hold ourselves to the standard of having statistics that are representative of the student body, and by releasing surveys that do not systematically disqualify students. Language like that which was in a previous survey isn't going to produce accurate results. If we're making surveys and other publications which have carefully thought out language, that will accurately represent constituent's views, but biased questions won't.
- VP Campus Affairs Woods: The Office of Campus Affairs would implement a written data
 policy, consistent with social sciences standards. The policy wouldn't be arbitrary, would be
 available for public view, won't preclude anyone, and won't support or go against students
 for specific policy. Data should meet objectivity standards that we should hold ourselves to
 as top research university.
- Financial Controller Park: The Office of Campus Affairs has complete authority when it comes to review of this. The problem is that we are dictating how it is for a future AS. I would like the act to be contingent that we review the policy that Campus Affairs provides, so we aren't just leaving it up to one office. Senate exists to open up views. Having one office controlling it should not be where we go. We should have several processes, so that students will have the best possible policies.
 - Senator Johl: On the resolution, in the 6th paragraph down, it lists examples of objective language. Does that not address your concerns?
 - Financial Controller Park: The language says that it will systematically be considered. While we don't know what statistic is, there could be room for argument or bias, which is dangerous while elections are going on. It could create a deadlock situation where we won't get the data we want. We need to be careful about how we create policy.
- **Senator Reynoso:** We have come to consensus that it would be under the Office of Campus Affairs and that we would refer to expert opinions.

Senator Reynoso moved to call to question on The Accurate Measuring of Constituents' Opinions Act.

With no objections, Senate called to question on The Accurate Measuring of Constituents' Opinions Act.

With a roll call vote of 15-1-8, The Accurate Measuring of Constituents' Opinions Act was approved.

Special Orders:

Senator Giltner moved to table the following item for one week: Special Presentation regarding Accessibility and Accountability of A.S.

Senator Grudin objected.

Senator Giltner spoke on his motion: I need one more meeting before I'm ready to present it.

Senator Grudin spoke on her objection: This is not something we need to continue to put off.

Senator Giltner withdrew his motion to table the following item for one week: Special Presentation regarding Accessibility and Accountability of A.S.

Senator Giltner moved to table the following item indefinitely: Special Presentation regarding Accessibility and Accountability of A.S.

With no objections, item was tabled indefinitely.

Open Forum:

- **President Gomez:** For constituents who are qualified for FAFSA, the deadline is March 2nd. FAFSA funds are awarded on a first-come first-serve basis, so it is imperative that students apply by March 2nd.
- **Director of AS Belk:** I wanted to share information about the Student Voter Access Committee (SVAC), including voter engagement activities and efforts. There has been a 50% increase in voters going to the polls. It isn't just SVAC's doing, it's a trend nationally, which is definitely something to celebrate. There are 9 polling locations on campus, including 8 in residential areas, the most of any UC campus. SOVAC, during orientation and move-ins, registered almost a quarter of on-campus residents. If you want to be trained to become a part of SVAC, there will be more and more opportunities.
- Senator Johl: I wanted to address a question brought to me by Senator Asakura about the Open Space Committee. I said we couldn't put lines on ridgewalk because of policy, but actually there is no issue with policy. They will be installing new hard bike lanes starting Summer 2019. The Open Space committee is addressing micromobility concerns, including concerns about carts and micromobile vehicles hurting students. We are trying to address

- concerns by creating better micromobility structure, through tabling at more workshops, making information more available at workshops.
- Senator Morris: If you have any micromobility concerns come to STAC. Some providers like BIRD are not on campus because of micromobility concerns, SPIN is on campus and has a contract with the university to keep vehicles on campus. People are frustrated with policy being 10 years for now, but the transportation committee asked for suggestions for next year. If you want to see changes happen now, they are actively acting for suggestions for 19-20 year budget. Point any concerns to me, as they meet next Tuesday.
- Financial Controller Park: CCP wants to meet with me. We had a discussion about student org funding. People have been getting mixed messages. Student orgs cannot get funding if they miss the deadline, which is a strict rule unless there is a clerical error on our part. An issue occurred where a student org went to college councils and some college councils suspended their bylaws to get funding. Then the org came to the office of finance asking how to fund in the situation. While suspending bylaws sounds like a good idea, there is a policy that if we're going to offer something to an outside organization, it can't be because of preference, it has to be about specific policies. Therefore, councils need to make sure that they write in their bylaws what the criteria is. You need to add line items in your bylaws like "this is the maximum amount a student org can get, no matter the cost". The reason why the rule exists, is that sometimes organizations don't meet their goals, and you can't leave it open ended. Therefore you need to put goals into your bylaws. In AS funding we make it strict, which is what we have to do. You can't just allocate fees to whatever you want, you have to follow UCOB policy.
- **VP Campus Affairs Woods:** The last Triton Dine is Monday of Finals Week. We are looking for a vendor to make the event vegetarian friendly, possibly a Mexican food or a Hawaiian barbeque vendor. We will be doing food order tomorrow.
- **Senator Hickman**: Neither Noah or I are able to attend a CCP meeting finals week. If anyone has Thursday of finals week free from 1:30-3:30 let me know.
- **Senator Reynoso:** Senator Asharov and I are participating in dance competition this weekend. There will be 200+ competitors, contact me for more details and come see Senator Asharov dance.

The roll was called.

The following members were present: Kiara Gomez, Daron Woods, Caroline Siegel-Singh, Nathan Park, Emma Potter, Jasraj Johl, David Hickman, Kelly Morris, Leslie Silva, Joseph Giltner, Amor Goetz, Annika Manlutac, Kimberley Giangtran, Noah Palafox, Eni Ikukuk, Arthur Porter, Eric Ron, Eleanor Grudin, Ulysses Velasco, Brandon Milledge, Melina Reynoso, Jamshed Asharov.

Meeting adjourned at 8:09 PM.