Date: Wednesday, April 28, 2021  
Time: 6:00 p.m. PDT  
Location: via Zoom

**Order of Business**

**Roll Call**

**Public Input**

1. Xuan Feldman  
   a. Climate Action Summit Update  
   b. New Voter Project

**Special Presentations**

Special Presentation by Kaitlyn Willoughby regarding Councilmember Joe LaCava  
Monthly Update. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran

Special Presentation by President Kimberly Giangtran regarding Finalized AS Budget Reallocation Proposal

1. Kimberly Giangtran  
   a. Nothing much has changed, only update to subsidized housing

2. Hannah Kreitman

- It costs $51 per night, so our contribution could fund 1,960 beds/nights.  
- Can be divided any way we’d like – i.e. could cover 32 students for 60 days or 65 students for 30 days.  
- Data from last year (keep in mind this was the program’s first year & these students paid out-of-pocket):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STH Affiliation</th>
<th>Contract Start Date</th>
<th>Contract End Date</th>
<th># Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recent UC San Diego Undergraduate Graduate</td>
<td>6/15/2020</td>
<td>6/24/2020</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent UC San Diego Undergraduate Graduate</td>
<td>6/15/2020</td>
<td>6/28/2020</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent UC San Diego Undergraduate Graduate</td>
<td>6/15/2020</td>
<td>6/25/2020</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent UC San Diego Undergraduate Graduate</td>
<td>6/15/2020</td>
<td>7/22/2020</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent UC San Diego Undergraduate Graduate</td>
<td>6/16/2020</td>
<td>7/17/2020</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent UC San Diego Undergraduate Graduate</td>
<td>8/7/2020</td>
<td>8/15/2020</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   a. Subsidized Housing for Graduated Seniors  
   b. We allocate this money as being for subsidizing housing for graduated seniors and then those nights will be paid for; if there are left overs, we allocate money for continuing students  
   d. ‘Basic Needs currently provides housing for students in need, they can’t fund all students  
   e. We’re only able to fully subsidize a night, we can’t subsidize partially

3. Giangtran  
   a. APIMEDA Center
b. Pending some approvals

c. Issues of prioritization and sustainability

d. Told background of the APIMEDA center

e. In order to make the center habitable, it would take $500K to have space up
to legal code and building requirements

f. Trying to restart conversation

g. I was told that the cost to run a resource center is going to cost half a million
to keep it running

h. Grateful for the generous offer; concerned about prioritization and
sustainability

i. My interpretation: flowery way of saying this wasn’t something they wanted
to take on

j. Didn’t specify any barriers from pursuing them

k. Three ways to proceed: (1) fight for it, give the community a space on campus
because it’s been supported in every meeting, (2) figure out how to use the
money to support APIMEDA community, (3) figure out how else to distribute
the money in different areas; take on a different initiative

l. First-Year/Transfer Experience

   i. Some transfer senators wanted to see transfers included in this
   experience

   ii. This money would be used to create programs and services geared
toward current first-year/transfer students in the fall.

Reports of Senator Projects

1. Ying

   a. Graduation stoles for students in the division of physical sciences

   b. The quota for the amount of stoles has maxed out

   c. High demand for stoles

2. Lam

   a. I’ve been working ISPO

   b. Writing a letter to UCSD Admin to let them know the need for remote
   options for international students

   c. Sent out draft to someone in ISPO

3. Gabelman

   a. Having office hours to be AS Senator Send-off

4. Medina

   a. Collaborating with ACTA and the Triton Transfer Hub on Transfer
   Graduation Series

Reports of AS Senators

1. Estus

   a. Quick update regarding finding what issues Senators are having

       i. Support — people feel like they are getting support but some people
          wanted more support

       ii. Lack of connection to Senate

2. Jung

   a. Issue with communication—trouble with communication at all three levels
   (between Senators, between Senators and AVPs, senators and exec officers)
b. Senators (outside of their cohorts) had less of an idea of what other Senators were doing
c. Situation got worse when talking about AVPs and Senators; they would share causes and initiatives with each other but not necessarily communicate and collaborate with each other
d. No built in methods for senators to get an eye on what AVPs were working on

Reports of AS Offices
1. Paskowitz
   a. Transfer Lobby Day
   b. Hill Day for internal UCSA affairs
   c. Meeting with EVPs with President Drake tomorrow
   d. Student Regent interviews
   e. Activist Training Summit
   f. Applications for UCSA Appointed Officers due June 21
   g. Applications for STAR for May Regents meeting, due May 2
   h. TLC installed fishing line bin project
   i. Local Affairs, holding local officials accountable workshop, workshops on vaccine rollout
   j. Transfer Coalition—implementing summer bridge program at UCSD, goal to finish Transfer Resource Guide by end of Spring
   k. Labor Affairs, podcast on student workers
2. Kreitman
   a. This year’s team from AS and GSA for advocacy surrounding UCPD had meeting with incoming AS exec team and GSA exec team
   b. I onboarded 10/12 college senators starting next week
   c. Triton Dine, diploma frames are stock, shipped out mid to late June, gift cards should’ve been sent out already
   d. Assembly archery, fun opportunity to meet each other safely at the end of the year

Question Time
1. Jung
   a. @Weng, has communication traditionally been a big issue; has being virtual played any role in that?
2. John Weng
   a. Communication has always been an issue with groups one way or another
   b. How that manifests varies and pinpoints change
   c. Communication problems plagued us for a while now
3. Wei
   a. How does this [the Senate lead position] help solve this [communication] issue?
4. Jung
   a. I don’t think the Senate lead is a solution to any existing Senate problems
   b. I’m failing to see how separation of Senate and executive can help communication
5. Kreitman
   a. I was wondering what defines a set of rules to be corresponding; if the issue is communication and the group wanted to move forward with the Senate lead
part but not the exec part OR the exec part but not the Senate lead part, what would any standing rules involving either of those things all of a sudden put both things into effect from the constitutional standpoint because you can’t split up what was voted on OR is it going to be specifically stated that this is the standing rules that corresponds to this and therefore it passes? I’m just wondering how do you know which rule that passes leads to the constitutional amendment going into effect?

6. Pandya
   a. With respect to specific question, the way the language is written, any change pertaining to the amendment would be enacted into effect
   b. Any adjustments would enact it into effect
   c.

Reports of Standing Committees
1. Finance Committee
   a. Williams
      i. Item F1 was discharged to Senate.
      ii. Motion to approve F1.
      iii. F1 passes.
      iv. Item F2 discharged, motion passes.
      v. F3 amended to say Allocation of $125,000 from Unallocated Funds to APIMEDA Center, discharged to Senate

Roll Call Vote
Yes—Pass F3
No—Do not pass F3

Tyler: YES
Jung: ABSTAIN
Allen: YES
Lonc: YES
Kulkarni: ABSTAIN
Estus: ABSTAIN
Reyes: ABSTAIN
Bianchi: ABSTAIN
Rollison: ABSTAIN
Saraf: ABSTAIN
Gharibian: ABSTAIN
Pandya: ABSTAIN
Nomani: ABSTAIN
Ying: ABSTAIN
Francisco: ABSTAIN
Wei: ABSTAIN
McLaren: ABSTAIN
Thompson: ABSTAIN
Gabelman: ABSTAIN
Shen: ABSTAIN
Gibson: ABSTAIN
Lam: ABSTAIN
Saito: NO
Desai: NO
Blackshire: NO
Bradt: NO
Kulkarni:
Fosth: NO
Kaur: NO
Giangtran: NO
Kreitman: NO
Paskowitz: NO
Williams: NO

3-10-18, the motion does not pass.

Bradt
1. Move to reconsider
2. F3 is reconsidered
3. Move to reconsider it for one week.
4. F3 has been tabled for a week.

Williams
1. Item F4 has been discharged to Senate
2. Item F4 passes.
3. F5 discharged
4. F5 passes.

Legislative Committee
1. Item L1 is approved.
2. Decision of committee stands.

Reports of External Committees
1. Blackshire
   a. Had meeting with community safety and security advisory committee
   b. It was garbage
   c. Discussion of a new survey; a faculty member from Berkeley discussed a new
      survey utilized to gather student, faculty and staff opinions and perspectives
      on policing on UC Berkeley campus
   d. Got insight from students; trying to utilize this for UCSD to focus on what
      students want here
   e. I had to directly ask the chair and UCPD on what tangibles they have given
      for defunding the UCPD
   f. From Chief Rose, they said they would stop use race as a description for
      timely warning and that’s it.
   g. In regards to PERT, they have been talking about this for months; good start
      but no concrete updates on this
   h. UCPD keen on keeping their funding

2. Saraf
   a. Passed about 30 memorandums of understanding between the United States
      and different countries
   b. Passed letter against HDH for hikes in graduate housing
c. Working with other VC of Academic Affairs and VP of Academic Affairs from UCOP regarding instruction for international students starting Fall Quarter

Committee Question Time

Discussion Items

Unfinished Business

New Business

Open Forum

1. Pandya
   a. I respectfully disagree with what Sen. Jung said; I don’t think there has been enough consultation to discern that the Senate lead is not the solution to the problems the Senate is facing
   b. We’ve been listening to many voices
   c. Making any judgement right now would be premature; lot more exploration to come forward

2. Jung
   a. Results of what Estus and I shared were the constituents consulted 10-15 Senators who weren’t present at Monday’s meeting
   b. Communication and support were the biggest issues brought up
   c. Question for Weng: One of the ideas introduced was the ability to split the proviso amendment we passed a few weeks ago; would that be allowed?

3. Weng
   a. Up to the J-Board interpretation; but it seems unallowable
   b. A rule passed is a rule passed

4. Jung
   a. With the update in knowledge, it seems good to start from scratch responding with supporting communication
   b. Idea of having more structure communication about the Senate lead rather than opening up to the Senate floor
   c. Work to space conversations out little by little

5. Bradt
   a. I don’t know how continuing the same outreach efforts is going to go

6. Pandya
   a. Firm believer in the legislative process
   b. Nothing has been decided and we’ll continue to engage and consult
   c. I don’t think we can say right now that the Senate lead is not the solution

7. Fosth
   a. Very possible that current outreach efforts have not reached the entirety of Senate
   b. Just because what we’ve been doing hasn’t gotten an incredible amount of feedback, that is not grounds to sort of feel confident to move into a vote
   c. We should exhaust our options

8. Bradt
   a. We’ve had multiple meetings on the Senate floor
   b. We’ve made ourselves available
c. I'm not really sure what else you're looking for

9. Jung
   a. We've made opportunities to make ourselves available
   b. I've realized we've never asked Senate what would make it easier to give us feedback on this topic

10. Fosth
    a. We've had a majority vote to discuss this issue
    b. We've had some outreach
    c. I think we need more discussion in whatever form seems fit

11. Nomani
    a. Outreach—directly contacting Senators and meeting with small groups
    b. Senate is a good way to discuss

12. Desai
    a. I'm kind of wondering what we're even discussing right now and what the Senate lead will even entail?

13. Gabelman
    a. Next term, virtual office hours each week
    b. If we've had a universal system for office hours

14. Bianchi
    a. With the Senate lead, is it an add-on of responsibilities? And if someone becomes lead, how can they support me as a transfer senator?

15. Pandya
    a. Yes, Senate lead will maintain original responsibilities
    b. That is a good question and that's what the discussions are for — how this leadership position can solve these issues
    c. Senate is entitled to see language; language will come soon and can be altered

16. Jung
    a. Voting on language that will affect Senators who may not even have a say or background knowledge to navigate the spaces
    b. It might be good to push this to the next generation after week 8

17. Kreitman
    a. Half of our Senate’s last meeting
    b. Thank you for full year of service!

18. Weng
    a. Number of you are overdue for trainings
    b. Mandatory please do them

Roll Call

Written Reports

MEETING ADJOURNED at 7:23 p.m. PDT.
Bills in Committee

Finance Committee

F1 Allocation of $250,000 from Unallocated Funds to ISPO Partnership. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran

F2 Allocation of $100,000 from Unallocated Funds to Subsidized Housing for Graduating Seniors. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran

F3 Allocation of $125,000 from Unallocated Funds to Subsidized Housing for APIMEDA Center. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran

F4 Allocation of $75,000 from Unallocated Funds to First-Year and Transfer Experience. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran

F5 Allocation of $450,000 from Unallocated Funds to CRRSAA Special Request Grants. Sponsored by Kimberly Giangtran

Legislative Committee

L1 Proposed Amendments to the AS Standing Rules regarding the Office of External Affairs: Office of Local Affairs and Triton Student Lobby Corps. Sponsored by Becca Paskowitz