

MEETING MINUTES

ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

The Wednesday, October 23, 2019 meeting of Associated Students Senate was held at 6:04 PM in the Price Center East Forum,

The roll was called.

The following members were present:

Special Presentation was provided by AVP of Academic Affairs regarding an Academic Senate crash course on its thirty standing committees and those who make up its composition. Alterations to policies are able to occur within minutes. It's of importance that those affected by specific policies are paying attention. Any change, such as admission policies, budget, and infrastructure, must be approved by the Academic Senate.

- A lot of schools have online courses. Is there any way to push for that? Is there a reason why we don't have that many online courses?
- Yes, the Education Policy Committee has discussed this last year. There was a lot of resistance to it. Online education mostly happens through the university extension because online education is not something generally done by undergrad and grad students. It's mainly people continuing students, so adults, who already have a degree. Once appointed, the vice chancellor is going to deal with trying to expand undergraduate course offerings online so there would be more options, especially since there's a large commuter population.
- Is there anything else you will be focusing on this year?
- Yes, in addition to universal podcasting. It's extremely difficult for commuters to go to professors' office hours. In addition to academic integrity claims made by professors who are extremely difficult. There are often incidences where students just don't have the resources. Plus, in the spring quarter, if you want to know why you got a C on the final, you have to wait until fall to pick it up.
- **Senator Huang:** You mentioned eliminating the plus and minus system, are they still working on that?
- That was just an example. They're not working on that.
- **Senator Huang:** Okay.
- Would anyone else like to speak?
- **VP Campus Affairs Reynoso:** Do all these committees have student reps on them or some of them just faculty.

- Of the list of thirty, there are twelve with student reps. Others are so specific, there's subcommittees. There are some that don't have undergraduate students. If there are committees that you think should have those representatives and don't or you want to expand the number of undergrad representatives, that is something we can explore.
- **President Grudin:** Sometimes Academic Senate committees will charge special committees or work groups and task forces to discuss specific issues before reevaluating them in Academic Senate. Is there a place where we can go to find a list of those special committees?
- There should be a live list of special committees. If there is a committee charging a special group and there's a student sitting on the committee, I hope they'll come to me. Please give me updates if anything important happens. There should be a list on senate.ucsd.edu.
- **Financial Controller Christensen:** How would we access minutes or records of what's happening in these meetings because what you mentioned changed in three minutes. How would we access that happening without having to talk directly to you?
- This is a big issue. On the senate.ucsd.edu page, you have to login to committee resources and if your name isn't on that list you can't see. If you want information from a specific committee, even I don't have that.
- **Senator McKeever:** Who exactly are the undergrad reps on these committees?
- There is a list.

Special Presentation was provided by Sr. AVP of the Office of Student Advocacy, regarding Academic Integrity and Student Conduct. Students use this resource for emotional support, policy reviews, and ensuring a fair process. Language barriers could make the process even more stressful so having campaigns, like Know Your Rights, to inform students. Prevention for international students and the computer science program is being worked on.

- **Senator Huang:** What are some outreach efforts you've made for the past four weeks and summer?
- The past four weeks we've done more on the planning side. The only event we've actually done was out on library walk for Integrity Week. Basically tabling with Academic Integrity. We're in the process of designing posters and other preventative.
- **Financial Controller Christensen:** What does one have to do to be an advocate?
- We just finished the hiring process, but just standard application and interview posted on the AS website.
- Senator Altimimi: At the quarterly dinner AS hosts, would it be possible to have a booth to talk to the students? A different way to outreach.
- Yes, we are trying to be more present in AS events.
- **Senator Huang:** Among the cases you've seen, how many are about citations?

- Over half are regarding plagiarism and citations. Categories can overlap and have gray area.
- **Senator Huang:** Is it possible to lobby the Integrity Office or professors to not make it a big deal of International Students plagiarizing? It's a very unfair situation for international students to a significant extent. The professors don't understand that a lot of international students don't know of these policies. Maybe there's a way to lobby to educate them on the first and second time, instead of reporting them and having it on their transcripts.
- Unfortunately, they can't make any special exceptions for international students. We've also asked if they can provide translators or have documents in different languages. But there were cases at other UCs where they were sued by the students because of discrimination. Too much risk of translators being sued. They make the argument that if you're at this university, you should speak English. It's unfair and they should do more. We're trying to do preventative work with international orientation so there's workshops showing writing sources, like the Writing Hub and OASIS.
- I understand there's a lot of obstacles, but I think collaborating with Academic Affairs. Is it possible to have more elaboration on academic integrity in the syllabus? It's not really informative and clear.
- Yes, this is a long term goal to create an academic integrity code that students have access to. They said there's too much gray area, but I will make my own and work with them. It's important that students understand what they're being accused of.
- Senator Manlutac: Regarding preventative measures, do you think you can collaborate with professors to talk about citations and rules better in the beginning of class. Clearly say this is what citation should be, don't need to point out international students, but for the better of the entire class.
- Academic Integrity is trying. All writing departments have to go through that. The one we're focusing on is in computer science classes. We're trying to get in those classes to give a five minute blurb to encourage professors to tell students to ask questions.
- CS classes have an integrity tutorial, whether you're in the major or just taking a class. I don't think I ever had to do that for other classes. Do you think that will be helpful?
- Yes, it's just hard to get professors to agree.

Reports of External News:

- **EVP Williams:** The Student of Color application is closing tomorrow. We are close to the number external wants to take to the conference. This upcoming November, they're passing the 2020-2021 budget so expect a tuition increase. The UCGPC will have a representative. We're still figuring out the UCSA representative, I'll let you know on that. After that process, we'll have multiple representatives on the student advisory board.

The Office of External Affairs is also working on to advertise the summer Cal Grant. The board meeting over the weekend, we officially voted to oppose all tuition increases.

- **Senator Hickman:** Since a lot of people live off campus, something that came across the California Coastal Commission was the new policy that eliminates rules that require apartment developers to create parking spaces in beach town units. This is most specifically aimed at Pacific Beach. There are other areas affected as well. It's good for the environment so housing projects in the future don't have to build parking spaces so they'll be at least a half a mile from a trolley line, but there's confusion on what that means. It's not going to affect old construction, but in the future it will decrease the amount of cars. It'll be harder to get to campus. There are still open positions in the Office of Local Affairs saved for AS so apply.

One minute of BBC News

Reports of Members:

- **Senator Manlutac:** A social science interdisciplinary minor is going to be launching in winter of 2020. It's made to prepare social science majors in data, statistics, and social science research. It entails three CSS foundation courses and programming, four upper division electives, and advising begins week five of this quarter.
- **VP Campus Affairs Reynoso:** We still don't have a weekly report option through the website so email me your weekly report for this week. Please send it on Friday before 12. The website bios aren't up yet so have it done by Wednesday. Our students should be able to look you up and see what you do. Update with general information of what you do and what committees you sit on. In standing rules committee, we don't have anything to report this week because no changes this week, but starting to begin conversation around compensation procedures. It's Mondays from 2-3 if have any input. November 18 is a Monday, my office is hosting a tabling event. Respond to Facebook page on general assembly page of what food for Triton Dine. Let me know veggie options.
- **President Grudin:** This week I went to the alumni board meeting as one of the student panelists in front of the alumni board. I was talking to them about the student experience right now and how we can partner with them for student goals since they are student focused. They're interested in how they can support us. If you want added support, they also fundraise and have weight as a board. So if you can get them behind your causes, it can move it along working with the chancellor and vice chancellor. They voiced their interest in supporting students. I met with the director of transportation, I'll discuss it later. I went to a meeting with Healthy Campus Network, a UC system wide initiative that supports students holistically on a health and wellness standpoint. I'll invite them in early next quarter. They're working on the healthy beverage initiative, which is UC system wide. On our campus, we're specifically working on making sure water is easily

accessible to students like hydration stations. It's the healthy option students are choosing instead of an anti-soda approach. Another project they're doing is accumulating all of the health and wellness resources onto one website that organizes it into six different categories, like exercise, nutrition, and mental health. This makes it more navigationally friendly and a cool interface that they're working with a design lab on that. The associate vice chancellor of EDI is interested in coming and presenting and talking about EDI accountability. I want to emphasize discussion with students instead of presentation. I had a meeting with the director and some leadership of athletics, in charge of varsity not recreation. This is another group coming into assembly. The Fourth Floor Reimagination Committee will have a post on Facebook.

No Reports of Senator Projects

Question Time:

- **VP Campus Affairs Reynoso asked President Grudin:** Can we fill out another interest form for when to meet for the fourth floor reimagination?
- **President Grudin:** We can send it out again.
- **Financial Controller Christensen to EVP Williams:** You said you were close to our target number for Student of Color. How many are we at right now and what's the target number?
- We are at 24. The goal is 40.
- **Senator Huang to President Grudin:** For the fourth floor reimagination, are we redesigning the entire fourth floor or just office part.
- The fourth floor reimagination committee is talking about what we want to do with the space. We've been meeting since June so we're a decent way of researching. We want to reassess the space we already have allocated. We're discussing the cubicle walls. Potentially two phases where the first phase is potentially over winter break. The second phase is redesigning some of the spaces for telephone boxes for phone call meetings and closed off spaces for smaller meetings. We've been looking at open floor plans.
- **Senator Manlutac asked President Grudin:** Does this committee end this quarter or will it continue into winter or spring?
- **President Grudin:** The committee will not be ending this quarter because we won't be done by this quarter. If adding walls, tables, and shelves we'll likely be contacting a designer. It'll be a longer process. The end goal is to finish allocating the resources to it and having a really good idea of what it looks like by the end of our terms.
- **Senator Kulkarni asked VP Campus Affairs Reynoso:** I didn't catch the exact time as well as what the event was on November 18.

- It is 9am to 3pm, I'm not expecting you all to be there the whole time. It's a giant AS tabling event. There will be on every quarter.

Reports of Committee:

Financial Controller Christensen reported on behalf of Financial Committee that item F1 was tabled indefinitely.

With no objections, the decision of the committee stands.

VP Campus Affairs reported on behalf of Legislative Committee that items L1 through L4 approved, reason being that they were standard appointments. Discussed and starting to draft a resolution regarding sustainability practices regarding AS.

With no objections, the decision of the committee stands.

Special Orders:

Discussion of the status of second year parking restriction.

- **President Grudin:** I met with the director of transportation to talk about parking and how we continue to be better. Over the summer, a proposal went through for a second year parking restriction. Currently anyone with first year standing cannot park on campus or eligible for a S permit. This second year parking restriction would extend that to anyone with first or second year standing. I have concerns about this proposal because it doesn't make sense to limit first and second year students, considering not every first and second year student lives on campus. Students commuting from any surrounding San Diego area would be impacted by this and go through the appeal process if they were to get an exception for this. Determining this by academic standing doesn't make sense. In addition, concerns about limiting by academic standing has been raised giving an unfair advantage to students who went to high schools that had access to additional AP courses. People don't come in with the same number of units so it's not an equitable way to determine if you can have a S permit. We came up with a couple options. He's open to more options, he just wanted to have this proposal in place. First option is sticking with the current proposal. Option two is that first and second year students living on campus or in an area serviced by the MTS Superloop would not be able to purchase a S permit. That's the main bus loop that goes around campus. Concerns are Superloop are often under-serviced with too many students, doesn't run 24 hours, and takes a long time to get to campus. The third option is first or second year students on campus or in an area serviced by Triton Ride, the one mile radius from campus, will not be able to purchase a S permit. Pros is it's serviced by Triton Ride so have access to get home safe late at night.

Option two is realistic to get passed because has administration's support already. Triton Ride is the same idea, but hasn't been preapproved. Fourth option is potentially restricting first and second year students who reside in first and second year on campus housing. Targeting on campus residents to not be able to purchase a S permit. This has been opposed by some administrative individuals already.

- **Senator Huang:** Is it possible to reject all of them and make our own proposals?
- **President Grudin:** Yes.
- Do you know the specific reasons for opposition for option four?
- I have not specifically discussed it with those with concerns.
- **Senator asked President Grudin:** Can you make this graphic available online?
- **Financial Controller Christensen:** I think option four is the best idea, but the reason administrators don't like it is because it places an issue on second year on campus students who are working off campus or have communication off campus. Students at UCSD usually leave high school with a lot of AP credits because a school with high academic prestige so people come in with second year standing. A lot of second years live off campus so it falls apart there. Actual parking on campus is consumed by on campus residents in their second year who's parking their car as a permanent parking on campus. People leave their car overnight so permanently stays at those locations. Option four offers parking to people who live off campus and then open up extra spots for medical reasons or accommodations. Other reasons beyond that can then fill the remaining spots in this option. Option two is a good, but flawed system with the Superloop. I also like the Triton Ride.
- Options two and three, how is it verified that students' addresses are in the area.
- When purchasing permit, have to put in address. That has posed problems in the past. Parking permit gets shipped to address, that's how it's verified.
- **Senator Manlutac:** I like the options focusing more on on campus residents. A lot of spots are inaccessible due to permanent. Is it possible for resident students to park in D spaces so off campus and people who have medical reasons. I like option four.
- **President Grudin:** First and second years would be able to purchase a D permit. That applies to all four options. Anyone can apply a D permit, whether on or off campus. It's only about purchasing a S permit.
- **Senator Salazar:** One of the reasons admin is against option four is because of second year RAs. They have to put on events so they do bring their car to get supplies.
- **President Grudin:** With option four, regarding RAs, it's targeting housing instead of number of units. They would be impacted, but can appeal it in the same way students do who have a job off campus. Regarding first year appeal, it'll be valid.
- **Senator Huang:** Option three and four doesn't make sense. If live in an apartment, the landlord will give a parking spot. It should apply to school housing as well. If paying for

housing in school, you should be able to buy a parking pass. Targeting first and second years on campus is unfair.

- **Financial Controller Christensen responding to Senator Manlutac:** One of the fears for D pass is safety. Those off campus won't be heading to cars at 10 or 11 pm, but those coming into campus very late at night, you have to walk to car.
- **Financial Controller Christensen responding to Senator Huang:** The difference is UCSD is a self contained unit. There's food and a gym here. Necessities are within walking distance if live on campus. Everything you want is within walking distance, shuttle distance, or Triton Ride. Those on campus don't need a car. You should first appeal to those off campus because they'll most likely have a car for accessing things their apartment doesn't have available to them. Don't have the same luxuries so option three and four should first appeal to those off campus then come back to on campus.
- Want to address option four, I like it the most because I understand there are second year RAs, but realistically the numbers don't show a large population of students. Are they hosting events every single day where they need to travel off campus? Second year commuter students need to get to campus most days of the week. Residential students should be limited rather than commuter students because buses don't run 24/7 and Triton Ride is a limited area.
- The appeal process is still there. How many students are going through that extra step? How to reduce the number of students going through the appeal process?

VP Campus Affairs Reynoso moved to extend discussion by seven minutes. Was seconded.

No objections.

- I agree with option four the most. There is an appeal process for special circumstances. Those at school are coming to school because they paid for it, not because they have a car to drive somewhere else. First and second year students living on campus know that those off campus don't have all the necessities within reach. The bus isn't reliable.
- **Senator Lonc:** Option four, but realistically we still have to consider administration. If we consider option two and three, we can limit those in Superloop and Triton Ride as long as we improve services with shuttles during busy times. We need compromise or they won't appreciate just going for option four.
- Reiterate key issue of how do we get to class on time. Have to deal with leaving early to get on campus on time. The priority for parking should be for students to get to class. Should serve commuter students. Option four seems like the best because not based on units.
- **President Grudin:** This is not an official proposal list. I want feedback of you and your constituencies. We might have a town hall on November 19 on this issue.

- **Senator Hickman:** Option two and three can be combined. The Superloop covers extra areas that option three doesn't. It can make a difference of people making it to class on time or not.

VP Campus Affairs Reynoso moved to extend discussion by two minutes. Was Seconded

No objections.

- Given appeal process idea to extend restrictions to first year transfers. Transfer students park for days so can be something transfer senate looks into.
- I like the restrictions for those in highlighted area, but to pursue, we need to address how to verify if people actually live at the address provided. It's easy to use friend's address.
- **Senator Huang:** Is it possible to think outside the box and not use those four options. B and A spots are open all the time so is it possible to extend B and A overnight for S pass to park in to alleviate on campus students not being able to park at all if we adopt option four.
- As permits upgrade at 4pm, S permits can be parked in an A or B spot. If one of these were to go through, even students with D permits can park in A or B spot after 4 pm. They can have access in the evening.

Unfinished Business:

Discussion regarding International and Out of State Senators elected positions.

- **Senator Huang:** International and Out of State senators represent a direct constituency so it'll be better to be elected. For democracy, makin git elected will give power back to the students and make the campus more transparent. Gives students power to decide who the representatives ought to be.
- **Senator McKeever:** This sounds good on the surface, but there's issues. The whole process is very thorough. We're transparent about it because when looking for international or out of state senator, we're looking for someone who can be a representative, in AS, and effective leader. The problem with making it elected is it'll be a popularity contest. There are certain groups that are disproportionately represented. Someone might run just to be an international senator. I'm not against whole idea, but can be a problem.
- **Senator Hickman:** Along the same lines, the voting system is not able to have people who aren't out of state or international not vote in these elections. International students represent 18.6% of students on campus. Large majority who could potentially vote for

international senator would not be from another country. Only 5.8% of students are out of state.

- Point out the central benefit of electing is forcing candidates to campaign. Campaigning forces you to confront issues and have to talk to constituents, which exposes us to what students are facing.
- We can't control whether someone who's international or out of state to vote. Additionally, another problem is how do you decide who is international and who's not. Someone can grow up in a different country, but spend their last year here, but they wouldn't be counted for international because of residency establishment. They know the international experience.
- The problem with making it an elected position is it limits the pool. Elections take place in spring quarter. Do you know why one was appointed and one was elected?
- **Senator Huang responding to Senator McKeever:** Making it elected won't be a popularity contest because saying making these two position popularity contests is saying all other positions are contests. There's only 1,000 students for Art and Humanities majors. In terms of not all international and out of state students being able to vote for their representatives exclusively because of our system, isn't transfer and off campus senator voted by the entire population as well. Why can't we make international senator elected since there's already precedent?
- It's different because there can be a popularity contest since there's different percentages of people coming from different countries.
- Running for international senator is going for a very small portion of the population so the vote can be swayed. There's so many different ethnic groups, it's hard to represent them all at once. If a very powerful org votes you into the position, but you're not fit for it compared to someone from a smaller org who's fit. It's unfair.
- I agree with the points, but what are the pros and cons of having one elected and one appointed to keep the thoroughness and democracy?
- Right now we only have one international rep and one state rep. The only position for one running and one appointed is transfer. That's to bring in the first year transfer voice. We only have one position for each senator.
- **President Grudin:** These two positions aren't tied together so we can do one thing with one and another for the other. The international and out of state shouldn't be invalidating them on our board regardless of opinions. The appointment process is thorough, but representative. We tried to engage members of the community to come into the process, but those appointing these positions are not from those communities. It's a narrow appointment pool, there's at most five students. Interviewing has been brought up by international students on our campus. Interviewing is hard, especially if English is not the first language. That process is not fair for those students. All of our other positions are elected as basically popularity contests. I understand the concern of specific

demographics being targeted since there are strong identities in that constituency. Think about what right do we have to not allow that identity to have an elected representative focusing on international student issues. I want to address Title 9. It's something new and hasn't run its course yet. It's a seat dedicated to international students. International students can't exist without the support of domestic students. It is a community process so there should be some shared governance in that. You can't determine who's allowed to run for those seats. It's not stated in our standing rules. The committee will assess that, but there's nothing stopping a California resident from running for that. It just doesn't happen because you know that's not the constituency you're trying to reach out to.

- **Financial Controller Christensen:** One of the issues is democracy. Core institutions of democracy is the idea that the rights of the majority doesn't trample the rights of the minority. If we allow for a large portion of students to make the entire decision, that's how democracy works, but if it overwhelms a smaller subset, you demolish their ability to have their voices heard. We should get senators who can bring out the voices of constituents. Another problem is it's not about representing a specific international culture or group, it's about representing the experience of international students. This seat is an advocate and aid to students who have a hard time in a new place and bringing their voices to the forefront of this community. I understand the argument about a popularity contest, it feels that way because it's debating a factor so intrinsic to a person. International senator takes care of a very specific subset of students with a specific experience. It's more targeted than Muir senator. If you allow it to go to a vote, you allow a large body to sway the vote that doesn't allow all voices to be heard. It takes away from its entire purpose.
- **Senator Lonc:** We're overlooking the out of state because they don't run the same things as international. International has constituencies harshly divided with students from completely different cultures with different experiences. I would support having out of state be elected because don't have that issue where a single voice is trampling everyone else. The cautions we must take with the international senator being elected versus appointed is a real concern.

Senator Huang moved to extend discussion by five minutes. Was Seconded.

No objections.

- There's a significant distinction for Arts and Humanities. It is elected, but campaigning for international it's much easier to find a group of students you can rely on for votes because there are a lot of cultural organizations on campus. It's easier to gather votes and sway the vote pattern. It'll be taking your culture's votes and someone taking their

culture's votes. It'll be a competition of who has more people turning up to vote. It'll increase voter turnout, but bring a negative distribution.

- I agree with Ben on out of state being elected. Why can't international senators have one appointed and one elected? Having one as the same as transfer senator would appeal to the opinions of the majority, but also protecting the minority.
- We are allowed to decide how our senate body is made up. It's the matter if you want to do it and if you can get senate to support it. It just changes standing rules.
- Is it possible to restrict what positions students are eligible to vote for? So international students would vote for international senator. No? Okay.
- I like the idea by Senator Manlutac, but 18.6% of our population is international students. That's a huge number to be represented by just one person. It'll be great if there's two people, one elected and one appointed.
- **Senator Huang:** If these two positions are going to be elected, they're going to be voted by the entire population? I don't see how this is going to change the entire outcome of the election. I agree making one elected and one appointed is a good idea.

Senator Huang moved to extend discussion by five minutes. Was Seconded.

No objections.

- **Senator Huang:** The campaign process allows candidate to know their constituents and what they need. It establishes their goals. I hope to make them elected so the campaigning process can help them do a better job once in the position. I think one elected and one appointed is a very good idea. Thank you Manlutac for bringing that up.
- **Senator Lonc:** As far as two international senators goes, we have to ideal choices. One is to restrict the voting of elected international senator to international students and one appointed to solve the majority rule problem. Or international senators elected by the entire student body so that even a huge majority can be counterbalanced.
- **Senator Hickman:** There are seventeen hundred thirty five students who are from out of state. Last year during elections, there were senators who got more votes than that. That is enough to outweigh any out of state student to win an election. Has any outreach been done to those two communities?
- **President Grudin:** Seems like the movement of senate is to propose an elected and appointed. There should be a revision in the process of the international senator whose appointed, specifically who's in the room while that process is happening. Timeline should be set in stone. There should be requirement for international students present during the interview process.
- **VP Campus Affairs Reynoso:** It's mandatory for the president, vp pf campus affairs, and three senators to be in the room. We clarified it's open to all of AS UCSD so more

senators can be in the room. We can invite members of the room to be there. It's up to them to decide who's in the room. It should be outlined as an expectation.

- **Financial Controller Christensen:** The total voting turnout for our campus is sub 20% so a voting population that is quite small. I understand Harry's argument of a small population making a difference, but if you look at the voter population that number is no longer small.

Senator Huang moved to extend discussion by five minutes. Was Seconded.

- **Financial Controller Christensen:** If you're a person of a smaller demographic in that situation, it doesn't change the fact of the matter that you're going to be pushed further away from larger demographic. The voting population extends beyond international students alone.
- **Senator McKeever:** Those running for international or out of state, if campaigning, the problem is those non-prominent cultural orgs would just be getting votes. If campaigning causes cultural wars and pit against each other. We can have international senators tearing the community apart.
- Point out that a lot of people from the community don't show up, but if there can be anything done. If this stays as appointed, more representatives from international communities can come when such positions are appointed. I would like to push that. I don't think it's not democratic to appoint because the people appointing these positions are democratically elected so they are representing the student body in a sense.
- Regarding the different cultures in communities that we have, we can establish a cultural committee, unless it's already established.
- **President Grudin:** UCLA has an international coalition, which is a representative from each of the cultural organizations. They were open to doing if there was more precedent.
- **Senator Huang:** Can we do a straw pull in terms of what we want to do moving forward?

VP Campus Affairs Reynoso moved to extend by two minutes. Was Seconded.

Senator Huang initiated straw poll.

- **Senator Huang:** For international senator, option one is elected, option two is appointed, option three is one elected one appointed, and option for is abstain.

Option One: 0

Option Two: 10

Option Three: 13

Option Four: 1

Senator Huang initiated a straw poll.

- **Senator Huang:** Straw pull for out of state. Option one is elected, two is appointed, and three is abstain.

Senator Huang moved to extend by two minutes.

Option One: 11

Option Two: 6

Option Three: 0

- **Senator Huang:** Seems like the majority for international senator is option three and out of state is option elected so let's move forward with that with the legislative committee.
- Can we talk more about it when the out of state senator is present?
- Yes.
- I didn't vote at all because Senator Huang hasn't answered my question on outreach.
- I'm personally involved in many Chinese international orgs so I've done outreach to ask their president and E-board.

Moved to extend by one minute.

Financial Controller Christensen objected.

Immediate vote is initiated.

- Option is close discussion, extend by one minute, or abstain.

Option One: 16

Option Two: 5

Option Three: 1

Discussion is closed.

New Business:

VP Campus Affairs Reynoso moved to enter items 1-9, all are appointments.

No objections.

Senator Hickman moved to approve. Was Seconded.

No objections, items 1-9 are approved.

Senator Hickman moved to approve items 10-12. Was Seconded.

No objections, items 10-12 are approved.

Open Forum:

- **VP Campus Affairs Reynoso:** There's an opportunity on October 29, Tuesday, from 11 am to 12pm for a search for a new faculty director for the Teaching and Learning Commons. There is an executive search firm employed to create a shortlist of candidates.
- **Senator McKeever:** Muir alum is talking about future Muir stock. It's a whole week of John Muir week. It's a concert, but there's a push to make it a festival open to the public outside of UCSD. More focus on activities instead of money going in that doesn't affect students.
- **President Grudin:** Next week, I'm attending the DEI work group to present information from last week. I'm meeting with the director of CAPS.
- **Senator Kulkarni:** That event is in two days from 6-8pm on Friday the 25th.

The roll was called.

The following members were present:

Meeting adjourned at 8:17 pm.